



Dynamics of Structures

Giacomo Boffi

<http://www.stru.polimi.it/home/boffi/>
boffi@stru.polimi.it

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale, Politecnico di Milano



Dynamics of Structures

Our aim is to develop some analytical and numerical methods for the analysis of the stresses and deflections that the application of a time varying set of loads induces in a generic structure that moves in a neighborhood of a point of equilibrium.

Our aim is to develop some analytical and numerical methods for the analysis of the stresses and deflections that the application of a time varying set of loads induces in a generic structure that moves in a neighborhood of a point of equilibrium.

We will see that these methods are extensions of the methods of standard static analysis, or to say it better, that static analysis is a special case of *dynamic analysis*.

Our aim is to develop some analytical and numerical methods for the analysis of the stresses and deflections that the application of a time varying set of loads induces in a generic structure that moves in a neighborhood of a point of equilibrium.

We will see that these methods are extensions of the methods of standard static analysis, or to say it better, that static analysis is a special case of *dynamic analysis*.

If we restrict ourselves to analysis of *linear systems*, however, it is so convenient to use the principle of superposition to study the combined effects of static and dynamic loadings that different methods, of different character, are applied to these different loadings.



Dynamic something that varies over time

Dynamic something that varies over time

Dynamic Loading a Loading that varies over time

Dynamic something that varies over time

Dynamic Loading a Loading that varies over time

Dynamic Response the Response of a structural system to a dynamic loading, expressed in terms of stresses and/or deflections

Taking into account linear systems only, we must consider two different definitions of the loading to define two types of dynamic analysis

Taking into account linear systems only, we must consider two different definitions of the loading to define two types of dynamic analysis

Deterministic Analysis the time variation of the loading is fully known, and we can determine the complete time variation of all the response quantities that are required in our analysis

Taking into account linear systems only, we must consider two different definitions of the loading to define two types of dynamic analysis

Deterministic Analysis the time variation of the loading is fully known, and we can determine the complete time variation of all the response quantities that are required in our analysis

Non-deterministic Analysis when the time variation of the loading is essentially random and is known *only* in terms of some *statistics*, also the structural response can be known only in terms of some statistics of the response quantities.

Taking into account linear systems only, we must consider two different definitions of the loading to define two types of dynamic analysis

Deterministic Analysis the time variation of the loading is fully known, and we can determine the complete time variation of all the response quantities that are required in our analysis

Non-deterministic Analysis when the time variation of the loading is essentially random and is known *only* in terms of some *statistics*, also the structural response can be known only in terms of some statistics of the response quantities.

Our focus will be on *deterministic analysis*

Dealing with deterministic loadings, we will study, in order of complexity,

Dealing with deterministic loadings, we will study, in order of complexity,

Harmonic Load the load is modulated by a harmonic function, characterized by a frequency and a phase,

$$p(t) = p_0 \sin(\omega t - \varphi)$$

Dealing with deterministic loadings, we will study, in order of complexity,

Harmonic Load the load is modulated by a harmonic function, characterized by a frequency and a phase,

$$p(t) = p_0 \sin(\omega t - \varphi)$$

Periodic Load the load repeat itself with a fixed period T ,

$$p(t) = p(t + T)$$

Dealing with deterministic loadings, we will study, in order of complexity,

Harmonic Load the load is modulated by a harmonic function, characterized by a frequency and a phase,

$$p(t) = p_0 \sin(\omega t - \varphi)$$

Periodic Load the load repeat itself with a fixed period T ,

$$p(t) = p(t + T)$$

Non Periodic Load here we see two sub-cases,

- the load is described in terms of analytic functions,

$$p(t) = p_o f(t),$$

Dealing with deterministic loadings, we will study, in order of complexity,

Harmonic Load the load is modulated by a harmonic function, characterized by a frequency and a phase,

$$p(t) = p_0 \sin(\omega t - \varphi)$$

Periodic Load the load repeat itself with a fixed period T ,

$$p(t) = p(t + T)$$

Non Periodic Load here we see two sub-cases,

- the load is described in terms of analytic functions,
$$p(t) = p_o f(t),$$
- the load is experimentally measured, and is known only in a discrete set of instants; in this case, we say that we have a *time-history*.



As both load and response vary over time, our methods of analysis have to provide the dynamical problem solution for every instant in the response.



As both load and response vary over time, our methods of analysis have to provide the dynamical problem solution for every instant in the response.

More fundamentally, a dynamical problem is characterized by the relevance of *inertial forces*, arising from the motion of structural or serviced masses.



As both load and response vary over time, our methods of analysis have to provide the dynamical problem solution for every instant in the response.

More fundamentally, a dynamical problem is characterized by the relevance of *inertial forces*, arising from the motion of structural or serviced masses.

A dynamic analysis is *required* only when the inertial forces represent a significant portion of the total load,



As both load and response vary over time, our methods of analysis have to provide the dynamical problem solution for every instant in the response.

More fundamentally, a dynamical problem is characterized by the relevance of *inertial forces*, arising from the motion of structural or serviced masses.

A dynamic analysis is *required* only when the inertial forces represent a significant portion of the total load, otherwise a static analysis will suffice, even if the loads are (slowly) varying over time.



The inertial forces depend on deflections, the deflections depend also on inertial forces, we have a loop and our line of attack is of course to have a statement of the problem in terms of *differential equations*.

The inertial forces depend on deflections, the deflections depend also on inertial forces, we have a loop and our line of attack is of course to have a statement of the problem in terms of *differential equations*.

If the mass is distributed along the structure, also the inertial forces are distributed and the formulation of our problem must be in terms of partial differential equations, to take into account the spatial variations of both loading and response.

The inertial forces depend on deflections, the deflections depend also on inertial forces, we have a loop and our line of attack is of course to have a statement of the problem in terms of *differential equations*.

If the mass is distributed along the structure, also the inertial forces are distributed and the formulation of our problem must be in terms of partial differential equations, to take into account the spatial variations of both loading and response.

If we can assume that the mass is concentrated in a discrete set of *lumped masses*, the analytical problem is greatly simplified, because the inertial forces are applied only at the lumped masses, and the deflections can be computed at these points only, consenting the formulation of the problem in terms of a set of ordinary differential equations, one for each component of the inertial forces.

The *dynamic degrees of freedom* (DDOF) in a discretized system are the displacements components associated with the significant inertial forces, in correspondance with the lumped masses.

The *dynamic degrees of freedom* (DDOF) in a discretized system are the displacements components associated with the significant inertial forces, in correspondance with the lumped masses.

If the lumped mass can be considered dimensionless, then 3 DDOFs will suffice to represent the associated inertial force.

The *dynamic degrees of freedom* (DDOF) in a discretized system are the displacements components associated with the significant inertial forces, in correspondance with the lumped masses.

If the lumped mass can be considered dimensionless, then 3 DDOFs will suffice to represent the associated inertial force. If the lumped mass must be considered with finite dimensions, then we have also inertial couples, and we need 6 DDOFs to represent the inertial force.

The *dynamic degrees of freedom* (DDOF) in a discretized system are the displacements components associated with the significant inertial forces, in correspondance with the lumped masses.

If the lumped mass can be considered dimensionless, then 3 DDOFs will suffice to represent the associated inertial force. If the lumped mass must be considered with finite dimensions, then we have also inertial couples, and we need 6 DDOFs to represent the inertial force.

Of course, a continuous system has an infinite number of degrees of freedom.

The lumped mass procedure that we have outlined is effective if a large proportion of the total mass is concentrated in a few points.

The lumped mass procedure that we have outlined is effective if a large proportion of the total mass is concentrated in a few points.

A primary example is a multistorey building, where one can consider a lumped mass in correspondence of each storey.

The lumped mass procedure that we have outlined is effective if a large proportion of the total mass is concentrated in a few points.

A primary example is a multistorey building, where one can consider a lumped mass in correspondence of each storey. When the mass is distributed, we can simplify our problem using *generalized coordinates*. The deflections are expressed in terms of a linear combination of assigned functions of position, with the coefficients of the linear combination being the generalized coordinates. E.g., the deflections of a rectilinear beam can be expressed with a trigonometric series.

The lumped mass procedure that we have outlined is effective if a large proportion of the total mass is concentrated in a few points.

A primary example is a multistorey building, where one can consider a lumped mass in correspondence of each storey. When the mass is distributed, we can simplify our problem using *generalized coordinates*. The deflections are expressed in terms of a linear combination of assigned functions of position, with the coefficients of the linear combination being the generalized coordinates. E.g., the deflections of a rectilinear beam can be expressed with a trigonometric series.



To fully describe a displacement field, we need to combine an infinity of linearly independent *base functions*, but in practice a good approximation can be achieved using only a small number of functions and degrees of freedom.



To fully describe a displacement field, we need to combine an infinity of linearly independent *base functions*, but in practice a good approximation can be achieved using only a small number of functions and degrees of freedom. Even if the method of generalized coordinates has its beauty, we must recognise that for each different problem we should derive an *ad hoc* formulation, without generality.

The *finite elements method* (FEM) combines aspects of lumped mass and generalized coordinates methods, providing a simple and reliable method of analysis, that is easily programmed on a digital computer.

The *finite elements method* (FEM) combines aspects of lumped mass and generalized coordinates methods, providing a simple and reliable method of analysis, that is easily programmed on a digital computer.

in FEM, we use a *piecewise approximation* to displacements which depend, in a finite portion of the structure, from the displacements component of the *nodal points* that surround that particular portion or *element*, using *interpolation functions*.

The *nodal degrees of freedom* are the DDOFs.

The *finite elements method* (FEM) combines aspects of lumped mass and generalized coordinates methods, providing a simple and reliable method of analysis, that is easily programmed on a digital computer.

in FEM, we use a *piecewise approximation* to displacements which depend, in a finite portion of the structure, from the displacements component of the *nodal points* that surround that particular portion or *element*, using *interpolation functions*.

The *nodal degrees of freedom* are the DDOFs.

The desired level of approximation can be achieved simply, by further subdividing the structure. Another nice feature is that the resulting equations are only loosely coupled, leading to an easier computer solution

In a deterministic d. analysis, given a prescribed load, we want to evaluate the displacements in each instant of time.

In most cases, a limited number of DDOFs gives a sufficient accuracy, and in general the d. problem can be reduced to the determination of the time-histories of some selected component of displacements,

The mathematical expression that define the dynamic displacements are known as the *Equations of Motion* (EOM), the solution of the EOM gives the requested displacements.

The formulation of the EOM is the most important, often the most difficult part of our task of dynamic analysts.

We have a choice of techniques to help us in writing the EOM, namely:

- the D'Alembert Principle,

We have a choice of techniques to help us in writing the EOM, namely:

- the D'Alembert Principle,
- the Principle of Virtual Displacements,

We have a choice of techniques to help us in writing the EOM, namely:

- the D'Alembert Principle,
- the Principle of Virtual Displacements,
- the Variational Approach.

We have a choice of techniques to help us in writing the EOM, namely:

- the D'Alembert Principle,
- the Principle of Virtual Displacements,
- the Variational Approach.

Structural dynamics is all about a motion in the neighbourhood of a point of equilibrium.

We'll start by studying a generic single degree of freedom system, with *constant* mass m , subjected to a non-linear generic force $F = F(y, \dot{y})$, where y is the displacement and \dot{y} the velocity of the particle. The equation of motion is

$$\ddot{y} = \frac{1}{m}F(y, \dot{y}) = f(y, \dot{y})$$

.

Structural dynamics is all about a motion in the neighbourhood of a point of equilibrium.

We'll start by studying a generic single degree of freedom system, with *constant* mass m , subjected to a non-linear generic force $F = F(y, \dot{y})$, where y is the displacement and \dot{y} the velocity of the particle. The equation of motion is

$$\ddot{y} = \frac{1}{m}F(y, \dot{y}) = f(y, \dot{y})$$

It is difficult to integrate the above equation in the general case, but it's easy when the motion occurs in a small neighbourhood of the equilibrium position.

In a position of equilibrium, y_{eq} , the velocity and the acceleration are zero, and hence $f(y_{\text{eq}}, 0) = 0$.

The force can be linearized in a neighbourhood of $y_{\text{eq}}, 0$:

$$f(y, \dot{y}) = f(y_{\text{eq}}, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y - y_{\text{eq}}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}(\dot{y} - 0) + O(y, \dot{y}).$$

1 DOF System, cont.



In a position of equilibrium, y_{eq} , the velocity and the acceleration are zero, and hence $f(y_{\text{eq}}, 0) = 0$.

The force can be linearized in a neighbourhood of $y_{\text{eq}}, 0$:

$$f(y, \dot{y}) = f(y_{\text{eq}}, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y - y_{\text{eq}}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}(\dot{y} - 0) + O(y, \dot{y}).$$

Assuming that $O(y, \dot{y})$ is small in a neighbourhood of y_{eq} ,

$$\ddot{x} + a\dot{x} + bx = 0$$

where $x = y - y_{\text{eq}}$, $a = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}$ and $b = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$.

1 DOF System, cont.



In a position of equilibrium, y_{eq} , the velocity and the acceleration are zero, and hence $f(y_{\text{eq}}, 0) = 0$.

The force can be linearized in a neighbourhood of $y_{\text{eq}}, 0$:

$$f(y, \dot{y}) = f(y_{\text{eq}}, 0) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(y - y_{\text{eq}}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}(\dot{y} - 0) + O(y, \dot{y}).$$

Assuming that $O(y, \dot{y})$ is small in a neighbourhood of y_{eq} ,

$$\ddot{x} + a\dot{x} + bx = 0$$

where $x = y - y_{\text{eq}}$, $a = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{y}}$ and $b = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$.

In an infinitesimal nb of y_{eq} , the equation of motion can be studied in terms of a linear differential equation of second order!

1 DOF System, cont.



A linear constant coefficient differential equation has the integral $x = A \exp(st)$, that substituted in the equation of motion gives

$$s^2 + as + b = 0$$

whose solutions are

$$s_{1,2} = -\frac{a}{2} \mp \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - b}.$$

1 DOF System, cont.



A linear constant coefficient differential equation has the integral $x = A \exp(st)$, that substituted in the equation of motion gives

$$s^2 + as + b = 0$$

whose solutions are

$$s_{1,2} = -\frac{a}{2} \mp \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - b}.$$

The general integral is

$$x(t) = A_1 \exp(s_1 t) + A_2 \exp(s_2 t).$$

A linear constant coefficient differential equation has the integral $x = A \exp(st)$, that substituted in the equation of motion gives

$$s^2 + as + b = 0$$

whose solutions are

$$s_{1,2} = -\frac{a}{2} \mp \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - b}.$$

The general integral is

$$x(t) = A_1 \exp(s_1 t) + A_2 \exp(s_2 t).$$

The nature of the solution depends on the sign of the real part of s_1, s_2 .

If we write $s_i = r_i + iq_i$, then we have

$$\exp(s_i t) = \exp(iq_i t) \exp(r_i t).$$

If one of the $r_i > 0$, the response grows infinitely over time, even for an infinitesimal perturbation of the equilibrium, so that in this case we have an *unstable equilibrium*.

If both $r_i < 0$, the response decrease over time, so we have a *stable equilibrium*.

Finally, if both $r_i = 0$ the s 's are imaginary, the response is harmonic with constant amplitude.

If we write $s_i = r_i + iq_i$, then we have

$$\exp(s_i t) = \exp(iq_i t) \exp(r_i t).$$

If one of the $r_i > 0$, the response grows infinitely over time, even for an infinitesimal perturbation of the equilibrium, so that in this case we have an *unstable equilibrium*.

If both $r_i < 0$, the response decrease over time, so we have a *stable equilibrium*.

Finally, if both $r_i = 0$ the s 's are imaginary, the response is harmonic with constant amplitude.

If $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, both roots are negative or complex conjugate with negative real part, the system is asymptotically stable.

1 DOF System, cont.



If $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, both roots are negative or complex conjugate with negative real part, the system is asymptotically stable.

If $a = 0$ and $b > 0$, the roots are purely imaginary, the equilibrium is indifferent, the oscillations are harmonic.

If $a < 0$ or $b < 0$ at least one of the roots has a positive real part, and the system is unstable.

1 DOF System, cont.



If $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, both roots are negative or complex conjugate with negative real part, the system is asymptotically stable.

If $a = 0$ and $b > 0$, the roots are purely imaginary, the equilibrium is indifferent, the oscillations are harmonic.

If $a < 0$ or $b < 0$ at least one of the roots has a positive real part, and the system is unstable.