# Matrix Iteration

### Giacomo Boffi

### <span id="page-0-0"></span>Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale, Politecnico di Milano

### May 14, 2010

[Matrix Iteration](#page-58-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

## **Outline**

### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- [Fundamental Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)
- [Second Mode Analysis](#page-17-0)
- [Higher Modes](#page-25-0)
- [Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)
- [Matrix Iteration with Shifts](#page-37-0)
- [Rayleigh Methods](#page-42-0) [Rayleigh-Ritz Method](#page-46-0)
- [Subspace Iteration](#page-54-0)

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

## Introduction

We have a procedure for dynamic analysis of MDOF systems based on modal superposition that is both simple and efficient, simple because the modal response can be easily computed with the most advantageous technique that is available for *SDOF* systems, efficient because usually (we will return on this) only the modal responses of a few lower modes are required to accurately describe the structural response.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

## Introduction

We have a procedure for dynamic analysis of MDOF systems based on modal superposition that is both simple and efficient, simple because the modal response can be easily computed with the most advantageous technique that is available for *SDOF* systems, efficient because usually (we will return on this) only the modal responses of a few lower modes are required to accurately describe the structural response.

As the structural matrices are easily assembled using the FEM, our modal superposition procedure is ready to be applied to structures with tenth, thousands or millions of DOF's! except that we can compute the eigenpairs only when the analyzed structure has two, three or maybe four degrees of freedom...

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

## Introduction

We have a procedure for dynamic analysis of MDOF systems based on modal superposition that is both simple and efficient, simple because the modal response can be easily computed with the most advantageous technique that is available for *SDOF* systems, efficient because usually (we will return on this) only the modal responses of a few lower modes are required to accurately describe the structural response.

As the structural matrices are easily assembled using the FEM, our modal superposition procedure is ready to be applied to structures with tenth, thousands or millions of DOF's! except that we can compute the eigenpairs only when the analyzed structure has two, three or maybe four degrees of freedom...

Enter the various Matrix Iterations procedures!

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

First, we will see an iterative procedure whose outputs are the first, or fundamental, mode shape vector and the corresponding eigenvalue. When an undamped system freely vibrates, the equation of motion is

$$
K\underline{\psi}_i=\omega_i^2M\underline{\psi}_i.
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>In equilibrium terms, the elastic forces are equal to the inertial forces when the systems oscillates with frequency  $\omega_\mathfrak{i}^2$ and mode shape  $\psi_i$ 

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

Our iterative procedure will be based on finding a new displacement vector  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{n+1}}$  such that the elastic forces  $f_S = K x_{i+1}$  are in equilibrium with the inertial forces due to the *old* displacement vector  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_n$ ,  $\underline{\mathbf{f}}_I = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_n$ . In equations,

$$
K\underline{x}_{n+1}=\omega_i^2M\underline{x}_n.
$$

Premultiplying by the inverse of  $K$  and introducing the Dynamic Matrix,  $D = K^{-1}M$ 

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_n = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_n.
$$

It is evident that in the generative equation above we miss a fundamental part, the square of the free vibration frequency  $\omega_i^2$ .

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

This problem is solved considering the  $x_n$  as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an unnormalized new displacement vector,  $\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}=D\underline{x}_n,
$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on  $\omega_i^2$ .

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \frac{\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}}{\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}},
$$

$$
\underline{x}_{n+1} \approx \underline{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_i^2 \approx \frac{\underline{x}_n}{\hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1}}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

This problem is solved considering the  $x_n$  as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an unnormalized new displacement vector,  $\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}=D\,\underline{x}_n,
$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on  $\omega_i^2$ . The normalized vector is obtained applying to  $\hat{x}_{n+1}$  a normalizing factor,  $\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}=\frac{\underline{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}_{n+1}}{\overline{\mathbf{\hat{y}}}_{n+1}},
$$

$$
\qquad\hbox{but}\qquad \underline{x}_{n+1}=\omega_i^2\mathbf{D}\,\underline{x}_n=\omega_i^2\,\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1},\quad\Rightarrow\quad \frac{1}{\mathfrak{F}}=\omega_i^2
$$

$$
\underline{x}_{n+1} \approx \underline{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_i^2 \approx \frac{\underline{x}_n}{\hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1}}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

This problem is solved considering the  $x_n$  as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an unnormalized new displacement vector,  $\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}=D\,\underline{x}_n,
$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on  $\omega_i^2$ . The normalized vector is obtained applying to  $\hat{x}_{n+1}$  a normalizing factor,  $\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{x}_{n+1} = \frac{\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}}{\overline{\hat{y}}_{n+1}},
$$

but 
$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_n = \omega_i^2 \underline{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}_{n+1}, \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathfrak{F}} = \omega_i^2
$$

If we agree that, near convergence,  $\underline{x}_{n+1} \approx \underline{x}_n$ , substituting in the previous equation we have

$$
\underline{x}_{n+1} \approx \underline{x}_n = \omega_{\mathfrak{i}}^2 \, \underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_{\mathfrak{i}}^2 \approx \frac{\underline{x}_n}{\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

This problem is solved considering the  $x_n$  as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an unnormalized new displacement vector,  $\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}=D\,\underline{x}_n,
$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on  $\omega_i^2$ . The normalized vector is obtained applying to  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$  a normalizing factor,  $\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}$ ,

$$
\underline{x}_{n+1}=\frac{\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}}{\overline{\mathfrak{F}}_{n+1}},
$$

but  $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{n+1}, \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{\mathfrak{F}} = \omega_i^2$ 

If we agree that, near convergence,  $\underline{x}_{n+1} \approx \underline{x}_n$ , substituting in the previous equation we have

$$
\underline{x}_{n+1} \approx \underline{x}_n = \omega^2_{i} \, \underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega^2_{i} \approx \frac{\underline{x}_n}{\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}}.
$$

Of course the division of two vectors is not an option, so we want to twist it into something useful.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

**Fundamental** [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

### **Normalization**

First, consider  $\underline{x}_n = \underline{\psi}_i$ : in this case, for  $j = 1, \ldots, N$  it is

$$
x_{n,j}/\hat{x}_{n+1,j} = \omega_i^2.
$$

Analogously for  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{{\mathbf{n}}} \neq \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_{\mathbf{i}}$  it was demonstrated that

$$
\min_{j=1,\ldots,N}\left\{\frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}}\right\}\leqslant \omega^2_i\leqslant \max_{j=1,\ldots,N}\left\{\frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}}\right\}.
$$

$$
\omega^2_i \approx \frac{\underline{\hat{x}}^T_{n+1} M \underline{x}_n}{\underline{\hat{x}}^T_{n+1} M \underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}}
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

### **Normalization**

First, consider  $\underline{x}_n = \underline{\psi}_i$ : in this case, for  $j = 1, \ldots, N$  it is

$$
x_{n,j}/\hat{x}_{n+1,j} = \omega_i^2.
$$

Analogously for  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{{\mathbf{n}}} \neq \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_{\mathbf{i}}$  it was demonstrated that

$$
\min_{j=1,\ldots,N}\left\{\frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}}\right\}\leqslant \omega^2_i\leqslant \max_{j=1,\ldots,N}\left\{\frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}}\right\}.
$$

A more rational approach would make reference to a proper vector norm, so using our preferred vector norm we can write

$$
\omega^2_i \approx \frac{\hat{\underline{x}}^T_{n+1} M \underline{x}_n}{\hat{\underline{x}}^T_{n+1} M \hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1}},
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

### **Normalization**

First, consider  $\underline{x}_n = \underline{\psi}_i$ : in this case, for  $j = 1, \ldots, N$  it is

$$
x_{n,j}/\hat{x}_{n+1,j} = \omega_i^2.
$$

Analogously for  $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{{\mathbf{n}}} \neq \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_{\mathbf{i}}$  it was demonstrated that

$$
\min_{j=1,\ldots,N}\left\{\frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}}\right\}\leqslant \omega^2_i\leqslant \max_{j=1,\ldots,N}\left\{\frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}}\right\}.
$$

A more rational approach would make reference to a proper vector norm, so using our preferred vector norm we can write

$$
\omega_{\mathfrak{i}}^2 \approx \frac{\hat{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}_{\mathfrak{n}+1}^\text{T} \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathfrak{n}}}{\hat{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}_{\mathfrak{n}+1}^\text{T} \mathbf{M} \hat{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}_{\mathfrak{n}+1}},
$$

(if memory helps, this is equivalent to the  $R_{11}$  approximation, that we introduced studying Rayleigh quotient refinements).

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Proof of Convergence, 1

Until now we postulated that the sequence  $x_n$  converges to some, unspecified eigenvector  $\underbrace{\psi}_{\mathrm{i}}$ , now we will demonstrate that the sequence converge to the first, or fundamental mode shape,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\underline{x}_n=\underline{\psi}_1.
$$

Expand  $\underline{x}_0$  in terms of eigenvectors an modal coordinates:

$$
\underline{x}_0 = \underline{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} + \underline{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} + \underline{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} + \cdots,
$$

and the inertial forces, assuming that the system is vibrating according to the fundamental frequency, are

$$
\begin{aligned} \underline{f}_{I,n=0} & = \omega_1^2 \bm{M} \left( \underline{\bm{\psi}}_1 q_{1,0} + \underline{\bm{\psi}}_2 q_{2,0} + \underline{\bm{\psi}}_3 q_{3,0} + \cdots \right) \\ & = \bm{M} \left( \omega_1^2 \underline{\bm{\psi}}_1 q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} + \omega_2^2 \underline{\bm{\psi}}_2 q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} + \cdots \right) \end{aligned}
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

**[Subspace](#page-54-0)** Iteration

.

# Proof of Convergence, 2

The deflections due to these forces (no hat!, we have multiplied by  $\omega_1^2$ ) are

$$
\underline{x}_{n=1} = K^{-1}M\left(\omega_1^2 \underline{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} + \omega_2^2 \underline{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} + \cdots\right),
$$

observing that  $\omega_j^2\mathcal{M}\,\underline{\psi}_j=\mathsf{K}\underline{\psi}_j,$  substituting and simplifying  $\mathsf{K}^{-1}\mathsf{K}=\mathsf{I},$ 

$$
\underline{x}_{n=1} = \left(\underline{\Psi}_1 q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} + \underline{\Psi}_2 q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} + \underline{\Psi}_3 q_{3,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_3^2} + \cdots \right)
$$

applying again this procedure

$$
\underline{x}_{n=2} = \left(\underline{\Psi}_1 q_{1,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2}\right)^2 + \underline{\Psi}_2 q_{2,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2}\right)^2 + \underline{\Psi}_3 q_{3,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_3^2}\right)^2 + \cdots\right)
$$

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_n=\left(\underline{\underline{\Psi}}_1q_{1,0}\left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2}\right)^n+\underline{\underline{\Psi}}_2q_{2,0}\left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2}\right)^n+\underline{\underline{\Psi}}_3q_{3,0}\left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_3^2}\right)^n+\cdots\right)
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

Going to the limit,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\underline{x}_n=\underline{\psi}_1q_{1,0}
$$

because

$$
\underset{n\rightarrow\infty}{\text{lim}}\left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_j^2}\right)^n=\delta_{1j}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\underline{x}_n|}{|\underline{\hat{x}}_n|} = \omega_1^2
$$

[Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

**[Introduction](#page-2-0)** 

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Purified Vectors

If we know  $\underline{\Psi}_1$  and  $\omega_1^2$  from the matrix iteration procedure it is possible to compute the second eigenpair, following a slightly different procedure.

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n=0} = \underline{\mathbf{y}} \, \underline{\mathbf{q}}_{n=0}
$$

and premultiply by the (known)  $\underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}_1^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{M}$ :

$$
\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{M} \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n=0} = M_1 q_{1,n=0}
$$

$$
q_{1,n=0} = \frac{\Psi_1^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{x}_{n=0}}{M_1}.
$$

<span id="page-17-0"></span> $x_{n=0}$  we can write a *purified* vector,

$$
\underline{\mathbf{y}}_{n=0} = \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n=0} - \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1 \mathbf{q}_{1,n=0}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Purified Vectors

If we know  $\underline{\Psi}_1$  and  $\omega_1^2$  from the matrix iteration procedure it is possible to compute the second eigenpair, following a slightly different procedure.

Express the initial iterate in terms of the (unknown) eigenvectors,

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n=0} = \Psi \underline{\mathbf{q}}_{n=0}
$$

and premultiply by the (known)  $\underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M}$ :

$$
\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{M}\, \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n=0} = M_1 q_{1,n=0}
$$

solving for  $q_{1,n=0}$ 

$$
q_{1,n=0} = \frac{\Psi_1^{\mathsf{T}} M \, \underline{x}_{n=0}}{M_1}.
$$

 $x_{n=0}$  we can write a *purified* vector,

$$
\underline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{n=0} = \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n=0} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 \boldsymbol{q}_{1,n=0}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Purified Vectors

If we know  $\underline{\Psi}_1$  and  $\omega_1^2$  from the matrix iteration procedure it is possible to compute the second eigenpair, following a slightly different procedure.

Express the initial iterate in terms of the (unknown) eigenvectors,

$$
\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{n=0} = \Psi \underline{\mathbf{q}}_{n=0}
$$

and premultiply by the (known)  $\underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M}$ :

$$
\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{M}\,\underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n=0}=\boldsymbol{M}_1\boldsymbol{q}_{1,n=0}
$$

solving for  $q_{1,n=0}$ 

$$
q_{1,n=0} = \frac{\underline{\psi}_1^{\mathsf{T}} M \, \underline{x}_{n=0}}{M_1}.
$$

Knowing the amplitude of the 1st modal contribution to  $x_{n=0}$  we can write a *purified* vector,

$$
\underline{\boldsymbol{y}}_{n=0} = \underline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{n=0} - \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 \boldsymbol{q}_{1,n=0}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

It is easy to demonstrate that using  $\underline{y}_{n=0}$  as our starting vector

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \underline{y}_n = \underline{\psi}_2 q_{2,n=0}, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\underline{y}_n|}{|\underline{\hat{y}}_n|} = \omega_2^2.
$$

### because the initial amplitude of the first mode is null.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

It is easy to demonstrate that using  $\underline{y}_{n=0}$  as our starting vector

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \underline{y}_n = \underline{\psi}_2 q_{2,n=0}, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\underline{y}_n|}{|\underline{\hat{y}}_n|} = \omega_2^2.
$$

because the initial amplitude of the first mode is null. Due to numerical errors in the determination of fundamental mode and in the procedure itself, using a plain matrix iteration the procedure however converges to the 1st eigenvector, so to preserve convergence to the 2nd mode it is necessary that the iterated vector  $\underline{y}_n$  is *purified* at each step n.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The purification procedure is simple, at each step the amplitude of the 1st mode is first computed, then removed from the iterated vector  $y_n$ 

$$
q_{1,n} = \underline{\psi}_1^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{y}_n / M_1,
$$

$$
\underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D}\left(\underline{\mathbf{y}}_n - \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 \mathbf{q}_{1,n}\right) = \mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M}\right) \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n
$$

Introducing the *sweeping matrix*  ${\bf S}_1={\rm I}-\frac{1}{M}$  $\frac{1}{\mathsf{M}_1}\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{\mathsf{M}}$  and the modified dynamic matrix  $D_2 = DS_1$ , we can write

$$
\underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}_1 \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n = \mathbf{D}_2 \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The purification procedure is simple, at each step the amplitude of the 1st mode is first computed, then removed from the iterated vector  $y_n$ 

$$
q_{1,n} = \underline{\psi}_1^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{y}_n / M_1,
$$

$$
\underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left( \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n - \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1 \mathbf{q}_{1,n} \right) = \mathbf{D} \left( \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1 \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \right) \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n
$$

Introducing the *sweeping matrix*  $\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{\mathsf{M}}$  $\frac{1}{\mathsf{M}_1}\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{M}$  and the modified dynamic matrix  $D_2 = DS_1$ , we can write

$$
\underline{\hat{y}}_{n+1} = D S_1 \underline{y}_n = D_2 \underline{y}_n.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The purification procedure is simple, at each step the amplitude of the 1st mode is first computed, then removed from the iterated vector  $y_n$ 

$$
q_{1,n} = \underline{\psi}_1^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{y}_n / M_1,
$$

$$
\underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left( \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n - \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1 \mathbf{q}_{1,n} \right) = \mathbf{D} \left( \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1 \underline{\mathbf{\psi}}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \right) \underline{\mathbf{y}}_n
$$

Introducing the *sweeping matrix*  $\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{\mathsf{M}}$  $\frac{1}{\mathsf{M}_1}\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{M}$  and the modified dynamic matrix  $D_2 = DS_1$ , we can write

$$
\underline{\hat{y}}_{n+1} = D S_1 \underline{y}_n = D_2 \underline{y}_n.
$$

This is known as matrix iteration with sweeps.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Third Mode

Using again the idea of purifying the iterated vector, starting with the knowledge of the first and the second eigenpair,

$$
\underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{D}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{y}}_n-\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\boldsymbol{q}_{1,n}-\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2\boldsymbol{q}_{2,n}\right)
$$

with  $q_{n,1}$  as before and

$$
q_{2,n} = \underline{\psi}_2^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{y}_n / M_2,
$$

substituting in the expression for the purified vector

$$
\underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \big( \underbrace{\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{S}_1} - \frac{1}{M_2} \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2 \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \big)
$$

<span id="page-25-0"></span>

$$
S_2 = S_1 - \frac{1}{M_2} \underline{\psi}_2 \underline{\psi}_2^T M
$$
,  $D_3 = D S_2$ .

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Third Mode

Using again the idea of purifying the iterated vector, starting with the knowledge of the first and the second eigenpair,

$$
\underline{\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{D}\left(\underline{\boldsymbol{y}}_n-\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1\boldsymbol{q}_{1,n}-\underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2\boldsymbol{q}_{2,n}\right)
$$

with  $q_{n,1}$  as before and

$$
q_{2,n} = \underline{\psi}_2^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{y}_n / M_2,
$$

substituting in the expression for the purified vector

$$
\underline{\hat{\mathbf{y}}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \big( \underbrace{\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1 \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{S}_1} - \frac{1}{M_2} \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2 \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \big)
$$

The conclusion is that the sweeping matrix and the modified dynamic matrix to be used to compute the 3rd eigenvector are

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_2 = \boldsymbol{S}_1 - \frac{1}{M_2} \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2 \underline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{M}, \qquad \boldsymbol{D}_3 = \boldsymbol{D} \, \boldsymbol{S}_2.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Generalization to Higher Modes

The results obtained for the third mode are easily generalised. It is easy to verify that the following procedure can be used to compute all the modes.

Define  $S_0 = I$ , take  $i = 1$ ,

1. compute the modified dynamic matrix to be used for mode i,

 $D_i = D S_{i-i}$ 

- 2. compute  $\underline{\Psi}_{\mathrm{i}}$  using the modified dynamic matrix;
- 3. compute the modal mass  $M_{\rm i}=\pmb{\psi}^{\sf T}\pmb{M}\pmb{\psi};$
- 4. compute the sweeping matrix  $S_i$  that sweeps the contributions of the first i modes from trial vectors,

$$
S_i = S_{i-1} - \frac{1}{M_i} \underline{\psi}_i \underline{\psi}_i^{\mathsf{T}} M;
$$

5. increment i, GOTO 1.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Generalization to Higher Modes

The results obtained for the third mode are easily generalised. It is easy to verify that the following procedure can be used to compute all the modes.

Define  $S_0 = I$ , take  $i = 1$ ,

1. compute the modified dynamic matrix to be used for mode i,

 $D_i = D S_{i-i}$ 

- 2. compute  $\underline{\Psi}_{\mathrm{i}}$  using the modified dynamic matrix;
- 3. compute the modal mass  $M_{\rm i}=\pmb{\psi}^{\sf T}\pmb{M}\pmb{\psi};$
- 4. compute the sweeping matrix  $S_i$  that sweeps the contributions of the first i modes from trial vectors,

$$
S_i = S_{i-1} - \frac{1}{M_i} \underline{\psi}_i \underline{\psi}_i^{\mathsf{T}} M;
$$

5. increment i, GOTO 1.

Well, we finally have a method that can be used to compute all the eigenpairs of our dynamic problems, full circle!

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The method of matrix iteration with sweeping is not used in production because

- 1.  $D$  is a full matrix, even if M and K are banded matrices, and the matrix product that is the essential step in every iteration is computationally onerous,
- 2. the procedure is however affected by numerical errors, so, after having demonstrated that it is possible to compute all the eigenvectors of a large problem using an iterative procedure it is time to look for different, more efficient iterative procedures.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

<span id="page-30-0"></span>Inverse iteration is based on the fact that the symmetric stiffness matrix has a banded structure, that is a relatively large triangular portion of the matrix is composed by zeroes (the banded structure is due to the FEM model that implies that in an equation of equilibrium the only non zero elastic force coefficients are due to degrees of freedom pertaining to FE that contains the degree of freedom for which the equilibrium is written).

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

Every symmetric, banded matrix can be subjected to a so called  $LU$  decomposition, that is, for  $K$  we write

### $K = L U$

where  $L$  and  $U$  are, respectively, a lower- and an upper-banded matrix.

If we denote with  $b$  the bandwidth of  $K$ , we have

$$
L = \begin{bmatrix} l_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \ l_{ij} \equiv 0 \ \text{for} \ \begin{cases} i < j \\ j < i - b \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
u = \begin{bmatrix} u_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } u_{ij} \equiv 0 \text{ for } \begin{cases} i > j \\ j > i + b \end{cases}
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

In this case, with  $w_n = D x_n$ , the recursion can be written

$$
L u_{\underline{x}_{n+1}} = \underline{w}_n
$$

or as a system of equations,

$$
u_{\underline{x}_{n+1}} = \underline{z}_{n+1}
$$

$$
L_{\underline{z}_{n+1}} = \underline{w}_n
$$

Apparently, we have doubled the number of unknowns, but the  $z_j$ 's can be easily computed by the procedure of *back* substitution.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

Temporarily dropping the n and  $n + 1$  subscripts, we can write

$$
z_1 = (w_1)/l_{11}
$$
  
\n
$$
z_2 = (w_2 - l_{21}z_1)/l_{22}
$$
  
\n
$$
z_3 = (w_3 - l_{31}z_1 - l_{32}z_2)/l_{33}
$$
  
\n...

$$
z_j=(w_j-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}l_{jk}z_k)/l_{jj}
$$

The x are then given by  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{z} = z$ .

· · ·

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

Temporarily dropping the n and  $n + 1$  subscripts, we can write

$$
z_1 = (w_1)/l_{11}
$$
  
\n
$$
z_2 = (w_2 - l_{21}z_1)/l_{22}
$$
  
\n
$$
z_3 = (w_3 - l_{31}z_1 - l_{32}z_2)/l_{33}
$$

$$
z_j=(w_j-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}l_{jk}z_k)/l_{jj}
$$

The x are then given by  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}$ .

· · ·

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

### Back Substitution

We have computed  $z$  by back substitution, we must solve  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{z}$  but U is upper triangular, so we have

$$
x_{N} = (z_{N})/u_{NN}
$$
  
\n
$$
x_{N-1} = (z_{N-1} - u_{N-1,N}z_{N})/u_{N-1,N-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
x_{N-2} = (z_{N-2} - u_{N-2,N}z_{N} - u_{N-2,N-1}z_{N-1})/u_{N-2,N-2}
$$
  
\n...  
\n
$$
j-1
$$

$$
x_{N-j} = (z_{N-j} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} u_{N-j,N-k} z_{N-k})/u_{N-j,N-j},
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

### Back Substitution

We have computed  $z$  by back substitution, we must solve  $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{z}$  but U is upper triangular, so we have

$$
x_N = (z_N)/u_{NN}
$$
  
\n
$$
x_{N-1} = (z_{N-1} - u_{N-1,N}z_N)/u_{N-1,N-1}
$$
  
\n
$$
x_{N-2} = (z_{N-2} - u_{N-2,N}z_N - u_{N-2,N-1}z_{N-1})/u_{N-2,N-2}
$$
  
\n...

$$
x_{N-j} = (z_{N-j} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} u_{N-j,N-k} z_{N-k})/u_{N-j,N-j},
$$

For moderately large systems, the reduction in operations count given by back substitution with respect to matrix multiplication is so large that the additional cost of the  $LU$ decomposition is negligible.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

<span id="page-37-0"></span>Inverse iteration can be applied to each step of matrix iteration with sweeps, or to each step of a different procedure intended to compute all the eigenpairs, the matrix iteration with shifts.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Matrix Iteration with Shifts, 1

If we write

$$
\omega_i^2 = \mu + \lambda_i,
$$

where  $\mu$  is a *shift* and  $\lambda_i$  is a *shifted eigenvalue*, the eigenvalue problem can be formulated as

$$
K\underline{\psi}_i = (\mu + \lambda_i)M\underline{\psi}_i
$$

or

$$
(\textbf{K}-\mu\textbf{M})\underline{\psi}_i=\lambda_i\textbf{M}\,\underline{\psi}_i.
$$

If we introduce a modified stiffness matrix

$$
\overline{\mathsf{K}}=\mathsf{K}-\mu\mathsf{M},
$$

we recognize that we have a new problem, that has exactly the same eigenvectors and *shifted* eigenvalues,

$$
\overline{K}_{\underline{\Phi}_i} = \lambda_i M_{\underline{\Phi}_i},
$$

where

$$
\underline{\Phi}_i = \underline{\psi}_i, \qquad \lambda_i = \omega_i^2 - \mu.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

# Matrix Iteration with Shifts, 2

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$
\underline{\psi}_i = \underline{\varphi}_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.
$$

$$
\lambda_{i,n+1} = \frac{\hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1} M \, \underline{x}_n}{\hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1} M \, \hat{\underline{x}}_n},
$$

$$
\overline{\text{K}}=\overline{\text{K}}-\lambda_{\text{i},n+1}\text{M}
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$
\underline{\psi}_i = \underline{\varphi}_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.
$$

The convergence of the method can be greatly enhanced if the shift  $\mu$  is updated every few steps during the iterative procedure using the current best estimate of  $\lambda_i$ .

$$
\lambda_{i,n+1}=\frac{\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}M\,\underline{x}_n}{\underline{\hat{x}}_{n+1}M\,\underline{\hat{x}}_n},
$$

to improve the modified stiffness matric to be used in the following iterations,

$$
\overline{K}=\overline{K}-\lambda_{i,n+1}M
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$
\underline{\psi}_i = \underline{\varphi}_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.
$$

The convergence of the method can be greatly enhanced if the shift  $\mu$  is updated every few steps during the iterative procedure using the current best estimate of  $\lambda_i$ ,

$$
\lambda_{i,n+1}=\frac{\hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1}M\,\underline{x}_n}{\hat{\underline{x}}_{n+1}M\,\hat{\underline{x}}_n},
$$

to improve the modified stiffness matric to be used in the following iterations,

$$
\overline{K}=\overline{K}-\lambda_{i,n+1}M
$$

Much thought was spent on the problem of choosing the initial shifts, so that all the eigenvectors can be computed in sequence without missing any of them.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

### The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method.

$$
\underline{x}(t) = \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \sin \omega t, \qquad \underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \omega \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \cos \omega t,
$$
  

$$
2T_{\text{max}} = \omega^2 \underline{\Phi}^T \mathbf{M} \underline{\Phi}, \qquad 2V_{\text{max}} = \underline{\Phi}^T \mathbf{K} \underline{\Phi},
$$

$$
\omega^2 = \frac{\underline{\Phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K} \underline{\Phi}}{\underline{\Phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \underline{\Phi}} = \frac{k^*}{m^*}
$$

<span id="page-42-0"></span>

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method. The Rayleigh Quotient method was introduced using distributed flexibilty systems and an assumed shape function, but we have seen also an example where the Rayleigh Quotient was computed for a discrete system using an assumed shape vector.

$$
\underline{x}(t) = \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \sin \omega t, \qquad \underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \omega \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \cos \omega t,
$$

$$
2T_{\max} = \omega^2 \underline{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} M \underline{\Phi}, \qquad 2V_{\max} = \underline{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} K \underline{\Phi},
$$

$$
\omega^2 = \frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{K}\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}}{\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}} = \frac{k^{\star}}{\mathfrak{m}^{\star}}
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method. The Rayleigh Quotient method was introduced using distributed flexibilty systems and an assumed shape function, but we have seen also an example where the Rayleigh Quotient was computed for a discrete system using an assumed shape vector.

The procedure to be used for discrete systems can be resumed as

$$
\underline{x}(t) = \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \sin \omega t, \qquad \underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \omega \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \cos \omega t,
$$
  

$$
2T_{\text{max}} = \omega^2 \underline{\Phi}^{\text{T}} M \underline{\Phi}, \qquad 2V_{\text{max}} = \underline{\Phi}^{\text{T}} K \underline{\Phi},
$$

$$
\omega^2 = \frac{\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K} \underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}}{\underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \underline{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}} = \frac{k^*}{m^*}
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method. The Rayleigh Quotient method was introduced using distributed flexibilty systems and an assumed shape function, but we have seen also an example where the Rayleigh Quotient was computed for a discrete system using an assumed shape vector.

The procedure to be used for discrete systems can be resumed as

$$
\underline{x}(t) = \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \sin \omega t, \qquad \underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \omega \underline{\Phi} Z_0 \cos \omega t,
$$
  

$$
2T_{\text{max}} = \omega^2 \underline{\Phi}^{\text{T}} M \underline{\Phi}, \qquad 2V_{\text{max}} = \underline{\Phi}^{\text{T}} K \underline{\Phi},
$$

equating the maxima, we have

$$
\omega^2 = \frac{\underline{\Phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K} \underline{\Phi}}{\underline{\Phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \underline{\Phi}} = \frac{k^*}{m^*},
$$

where  $\Phi$  is an assumed shape vector, not an eigenvector.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

#### [Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

For a N DOF system, an approximation to a displacement vector  $x$  can be written in terms of a set of  $M < N$  assumed shape, linearly independent vectors,

$$
\underline{\Phi}_{i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, M < N
$$

and a set of Ritz coordinates  $z_i$ ,  $i-1,\ldots,M < N$ :

$$
\underline{x} = \sum_i \underline{\varphi}_i z_i = \Phi \underline{z}.
$$

<span id="page-46-0"></span>We say *approximation* because a linear combination of  $M < N$  vectors cannot describe every point in a N-space.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

We can write the Rayleigh quotient as a function of the Ritz coordinates,

$$
\omega^2(\underline{z}) = \frac{\underline{z}^T \underline{\Phi}^T K \underline{\Phi} \underline{z}}{\underline{z}^T \underline{\Phi}^T M \underline{\Phi} \underline{z}} = \frac{\overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z})},
$$

# but this is not an explicit fuction for any modal frequency...

$$
\frac{\partial \omega^2(\underline{z})}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} - \overline{k}(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i}}{(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z}))^2} = 0, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, M < N
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

We can write the Rayleigh quotient as a function of the Ritz coordinates,

$$
\omega^2(\underline{z})=\frac{\underline{z}^T\underline{\Phi}^TK\underline{\Phi}\underline{z}}{\underline{z}^T\underline{\Phi}^TM\underline{\Phi}\underline{z}}=\frac{\overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z})},
$$

but this is not an explicit fuction for any modal frequency... On the other hand, we have seen that frequency estimates are always greater than true frequencies, so our best estimates are the the local minima of  $\omega^2(\underline{z})$ , or the points where all the derivatives of  $\omega^2(\underline{z})$  with respect to  $z_{\mathfrak i}$  are zero:

$$
\frac{\partial \omega^2(\underline{z})}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z}) \, \frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} - \overline{k}(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i}}{(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{z}))^2} = 0, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, M < N
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

## Reduced Eigenproblem

### Observing that

$$
\overline{k}(\underline{z})=\omega^2(\underline{z})\overline{m}(\underline{z})
$$

we can substitute into and simplify the preceding equation,

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., M < N
$$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \underline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}, \qquad \overline{\mathbf{M}} = \underline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \underline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}
$$

$$
\overline{k}(\underline{z}) = \underline{z}^T \overline{K} \underline{z} = \sum_i \sum_j \overline{k}_{ij} z_j z_i,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 2\sum_j \overline{k}_{ij}z_j = 2\overline{K}\underline{z}, \text{ and, analogously, } \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 2\overline{M}\underline{z}.
$$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{K}}\underline{\mathbf{z}} - \omega^2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}\underline{\mathbf{z}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

## Reduced Eigenproblem

Observing that

$$
\overline{k}(\underline{z})=\omega^2(\underline{z})\overline{m}(\underline{z})
$$

we can substitute into and simplify the preceding equation,

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, M < N
$$

With the positions

$$
\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K} \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}, \qquad \overline{\mathcal{M}} = \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}
$$

we have

$$
\overline{k}(\underline{z}) = \underline{z}^T \overline{K} \underline{z} = \sum_i \sum_j \overline{k}_{ij} z_j z_i,
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 2\sum_j \overline{k}_{ij} z_j = 2\overline{K}_{\underline{z}}, \text{ and, analogously, } \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 2\overline{M}_{\underline{z}}.
$$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{K}}\underline{\mathbf{z}} - \omega^2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}\underline{\mathbf{z}} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

## Reduced Eigenproblem

Observing that

$$
\overline{k}(\underline{z})=\omega^2(\underline{z})\overline{m}(\underline{z})
$$

we can substitute into and simplify the preceding equation,

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, M < N
$$

With the positions

$$
\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K} \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}, \qquad \overline{\mathcal{M}} = \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \underline{\mathbf{\Phi}}
$$

we have

$$
\overline{k}(\underline{z}) = \underline{z}^T \overline{K} \underline{z} = \sum_i \sum_j \overline{k}_{ij} z_j z_i,
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 2\sum_j \overline{k}_{ij}z_j = 2\overline{\textbf{K}}\underline{z}, \text{ and, analogously, } \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 2\overline{\textbf{M}}\underline{z}.
$$

Substituting these results in  $\frac{\partial k(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\underline{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\underline{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0$  we can write a new eigenvector problem, in the M DOF Ritz coordinates space, with reduced  $M \times M$  matrices:

$$
\overline{K}\underline{z} - \omega^2 \overline{M}\,\underline{z} = \underline{0}.
$$

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

After solving the reduced eigenproblem, we have a set of M eigenvalues  $\overline{w}_{\rm i}^2$  and a corresponding set of  ${\rm M}$  eigenvectors  $\overline{\underline{z}}_{{\rm{t}}}$ . What is the relation between these results and the eigenpairs of the original problem? The  $\overline{\omega}_{i}^{2}$  clearly are approximations from above to the real

eigenvalues, and if we write  $\underline{\Psi}_{\rm i}=\Phi\overline{\underline{z}}_{\rm i}$  we see that, being

$$
\overline{\Psi}_{i}^{T} M \overline{\Psi}_{j} = \overline{\underline{z}}_{i}^{T} \underbrace{\Phi^{T} M \Phi}_{\overline{M}} \overline{\underline{z}}_{j} = \overline{M}_{i} \delta_{ij},
$$

the approximated eigenvectors  $\underline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_{\rm i}$  are orthogonal with respect to the structural matrices and can be used in ordinary modal superposition techniques.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

One last question: how many  $\overline{w}_{i}^{2}$  and  $\overline{\underline{\Psi}}_{i}$  are *effective* approximations to the true eigenpairs? Experience tells that an effective approximation is to be expected for the first M/2 eigenthings.

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

[Rayleigh-Ritz](#page-46-0) Method

If we collect all the eigenvalues into a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ , we can write the following equation,

```
K Ψ - Μ Ψ Λ
```
where every matrix is a square,  $N \times N$  matrix.

The Subspace Iteration method uses a reduced set of trials vectors, packed in  $N \times M$  matrix  $\Phi_0$  and applies the procedure of matrix iteration to the whole set of trial vectors at once:

 $\hat{\Phi}_1 = K^- 1 M \, \Phi_0.$ 

We used, again, the hat notation to visualize that the iterated vectors are not normalized by the application of the unknown  $\Lambda$ .

<span id="page-54-0"></span>

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

If we collect all the eigenvalues into a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$ , we can write the following equation,

```
K Ψ - Μ Ψ Λ
```
where every matrix is a square,  $N \times N$  matrix.

The Subspace Iteration method uses a reduced set of trials vectors, packed in  $N \times M$  matrix  $\Phi_0$  and applies the procedure of matrix iteration to the whole set of trial vectors at once:

 $\hat{\Phi}_1 = K^- 1 M \, \Phi_0.$ 

We used, again, the hat notation to visualize that the iterated vectors are not normalized by the application of the unknown  $\Lambda$ . Should we proceed naively down this road, though, all the columns in  $\Phi_n$  would converge to the first eigenvector, subspace iteration being only an expensive manner of applying matrix iteration without sweeps or shifts...

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

Different options that comes to mind:

- 1. force all step n non-normalized vectors to be orthogonal with respect to  $M$ , difficult, essentially we have to solve an eigenvalue problem...
- 2. use the step n non-normalized vectors as a reduced base for the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure, solve an eigenvalue problem

$$
\overline{\mathsf{K}}_{n} = \hat{\Phi}_{n}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{K} \, \hat{\Phi}_{n} = \hat{\Phi}_{n}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{M} \, \Phi_{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{\mathsf{M}}_{n} = \hat{\Phi}_{n}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{M} \, \hat{\Phi}_{n}
$$
\n
$$
\overline{\mathsf{K}}_{n} \, \overline{\mathsf{Z}}_{n} = \overline{\mathsf{M}}_{n} \, \overline{\mathsf{Z}}_{n} \overline{\mathsf{A}}_{n}
$$

whose outcome  $\overline{\Lambda}_n$ ,  $\overline{\mathsf{Z}}_n$  is correlated to the structural eigenvalues, and use the normalized  $Z_n$  eigenvectors as the normalized, un-hatted  $\Phi_n$ .

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The second procedure is exactly what we want: we use  $\overline{Z}$  to start an iteration that will lead to a new set of base vectors that, being computed from the equation of dynamic equilibrium, will be a better base for the successive estimation of the eigenvectors, a new *subspace* where the eigenvectors can be more closely approximated.

[Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)

The procedure converges very fast and with excellent approximation to a number of eigenvalues and eigenvector p,  $p = M - q$  where q is the number of required guard eigenpairs.

<span id="page-58-0"></span>Experience shows that we can safely use  $q = min\{p, 8\}$ .

#### [Matrix Iteration](#page-0-0)

Giacomo Boffi

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Fundamental [Mode Analysis](#page-5-0)

[Second Mode](#page-17-0) Analysis

[Higher Modes](#page-25-0)

[Inverse Iteration](#page-30-0)

[Matrix Iteration](#page-37-0) with Shifts

Rayleigh [Methods](#page-42-0)