

# Numerical Integration Rigid Assemblages

Giacomo Boffi

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale, Politecnico di Milano

April 9, 2014

## Part I

# Numerical Integration

## Outline

### Examples of SbS Methods

- Piecewise Exact Method
- Central Differences Method
- Methods based on Integration
- Constant Acceleration Method
- Linear Acceleration Method
- Newmark Beta Methods
- Specialising for Non Linear Systems
  - Modified Newton-Raphson Method

## Piecewise exact method

- We use the exact solution of the equation of motion for a system excited by a linearly varying force, so the source of all errors lies in the piecewise linearisation of the force function and in the approximation due to a local linear model.
- We will see that an appropriate time step can be decided in terms of the number of points required to accurately describe either the force or the response function.

## Piecewise exact method

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

For a generic time step of duration  $h$ , consider

- ▶  $\{x_0, \dot{x}_0\}$  the initial state vector,
- ▶  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ , the values of  $p(t)$  at the start and the end of the integration step,
- ▶ the linearised force

$$p(\tau) = p_0 + \alpha\tau, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq h, \quad \alpha = (p(h) - p(0))/h,$$

- ▶ the forced response

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega\tau} (A \cos(\omega_D\tau) + B \sin(\omega_D\tau)) + (\alpha k\tau + kp_0 - \alpha c)/k^2,$$

where  $k$  and  $c$  are the stiffness and damping of the SDOF system.

## Piecewise exact method

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Evaluating the response  $x$  and the velocity  $\dot{x}$  for  $\tau = 0$  and equating to  $\{x_0, \dot{x}_0\}$ , writing  $\Delta_{st} = p(0)/k$  and  $\delta(\Delta_{st}) = (p(h) - p(0))/k$ , one can find  $A$  and  $B$

$$A = \left( \dot{x}_0 + \zeta\omega B - \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} \right) \frac{1}{\omega_D}$$

$$B = x_0 + \frac{2\zeta}{\omega} \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} - \Delta_{st}$$

substituting and evaluating for  $\tau = h$  one finds the state vector at the end of the step.

## Piecewise exact method

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

With

$$\mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h} = \sin(\omega_D h) \exp(-\zeta\omega h) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} = \cos(\omega_D h) \exp(-\zeta\omega h)$$

and the previous definitions of  $\Delta_{st}$  and  $\delta(\Delta_{st})$ , finally we can write

$$x(h) = A \mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h} + B \mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} + (\Delta_{st} + \delta(\Delta_{st})) - \frac{2\zeta}{\omega} \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h}$$

$$\dot{x}(h) = A(\omega_D \mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} - \zeta\omega \mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h}) - B(\zeta\omega \mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} + \omega_D \mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h}) + \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h}$$

where

$$B = x_0 + \frac{2\zeta}{\omega} \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} - \Delta_{st}, \quad A = \left( \dot{x}_0 + \zeta\omega B - \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} \right) \frac{1}{\omega_D}.$$

## Example

SbS methods  
PVD


Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

We have a damped system that is excited by a load in resonance with the system, we know the exact response and we want to compute a step-by-step approximation using different step lengths.

$$\begin{aligned} m &= 1000 \text{ kg}, \\ k &= 4\pi^2 \cdot 1000 \text{ N/m}, \\ \omega &= 2\pi, \\ \zeta &= 0.05, \\ p(t) &= 4\pi^2 \cdot 5 \text{ N} \sin(2\pi t) \end{aligned}$$



It is apparent that you have a very good approximation when the linearised loading is a very good approximation of the input function, let's say  $h \leq T/10$ .

## Central differences

To derive the Central Differences Method, we write the eq. of motion at time  $\tau = 0$  and find the initial acceleration,

$$m\ddot{x}_0 + c\dot{x}_0 + kx_0 = p_0 \Rightarrow \ddot{x}_0 = \frac{1}{m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0)$$

On the other hand, the initial acceleration can be expressed in terms of finite differences,

$$\ddot{x}_0 = \frac{x_1 - 2x_0 + x_{-1}}{h^2} = \frac{1}{m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0)$$

solving for  $x_1$

$$x_1 = 2x_0 - x_{-1} + \frac{h^2}{m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0)$$

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

## Central differences

We have an expression for  $x_1$ , the displacement at the end of the step,

$$x_1 = 2x_0 - x_{-1} + \frac{h^2}{m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0),$$

but we have an additional unknown,  $x_{-1}$ ... if we write the finite differences approximation to  $\dot{x}_0$  we can find an approximation to  $x_{-1}$  in terms of the initial velocity  $\dot{x}_0$  and the unknown  $x_1$

$$\dot{x}_0 = \frac{x_1 - x_{-1}}{2h} \Rightarrow x_{-1} = x_1 - 2h\dot{x}_0$$

Substituting in the previous equation

$$x_1 = 2x_0 - x_1 + 2h\dot{x}_0 + \frac{h^2}{m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0),$$

and solving for  $x_1$

$$x_1 = x_0 + h\dot{x}_0 + \frac{h^2}{2m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0)$$

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

## Central differences

$$x_1 = x_0 + h\dot{x}_0 + \frac{h^2}{2m}(p_0 - c\dot{x}_0 - kx_0)$$

To start a new step, we need the value of  $\dot{x}_1$ , but we may approximate the mean velocity, again, by finite differences

$$\frac{\dot{x}_0 + \dot{x}_1}{2} = \frac{x_1 - x_0}{h} \Rightarrow \dot{x}_1 = \frac{2(x_1 - x_0)}{h} - \dot{x}_0$$

The method is very simple, but it is *conditionally stable*. The stability condition is defined with respect to the natural frequency, or the natural period, of the SDOF oscillator,

$$\omega_n h \leq 2 \Rightarrow h \leq \frac{T_n}{\pi} \approx 0.32T_n$$

For a SDOF this is not relevant because, as we have seen in our previous example, we need more points for response cycle to correctly represent the response.

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

## Methods based on Integration

We will make use of an *hypothesis* on the variation of the acceleration during the time step and of analytical integration of acceleration and velocity to step forward from the initial to the final condition for each time step. In general, these methods are based on the two equations

$$\dot{x}_1 = \dot{x}_0 + \int_0^h \ddot{x}(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$x_1 = x_0 + \int_0^h \dot{x}(\tau) d\tau,$$

which express the final velocity and the final displacement in terms of the initial values  $x_0$  and  $\dot{x}_0$  and some definite integrals that depend on the *assumed* variation of the acceleration during the time step.

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

## Integration Methods

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Depending on the different assumption we can make on the variation of velocity, different integration methods can be derived.

We will see

- ▶ the constant acceleration method,
- ▶ the linear acceleration method,
- ▶ the family of methods known as *Newmark Beta Methods*, that comprises the previous methods as particular cases.

## Constant Acceleration

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Here we assume that the acceleration is constant during each time step, equal to the mean value of the initial and final values:

$$\ddot{x}(\tau) = \ddot{x}_0 + \Delta\ddot{x}/2,$$

where  $\Delta\ddot{x} = \ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_0$ , hence

$$\dot{x}_1 = \dot{x}_0 + \int_0^h (\ddot{x}_0 + \Delta\ddot{x}/2) d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta\dot{x} = \ddot{x}_0 h + \Delta\ddot{x} h/2$$

$$x_1 = x_0 + \int_0^h (\dot{x}_0 + (\ddot{x}_0 + \Delta\ddot{x}/2)\tau) d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta x = \dot{x}_0 h + (\ddot{x}_0) h^2/2 + \Delta\ddot{x} h^2/4$$

## Constant acceleration

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Taking into account the two equations on the right of the previous slide, and solving for  $\Delta\dot{x}$  and  $\Delta\ddot{x}$  in terms of  $\Delta x$ , we have

$$\Delta\dot{x} = \frac{2\Delta x - 2h\dot{x}_0}{h}, \quad \Delta\ddot{x} = \frac{4\Delta x - 4h\dot{x}_0 - 2\ddot{x}_0 h^2}{h^2}.$$

We have two equations and three unknowns... Assuming that the system characteristics are constant during a single step, we can write the equation of motion at times  $\tau = h$  and  $\tau = 0$ , subtract member by member and write the *incremental equation of motion*

$$m\Delta\ddot{x} + c\Delta\dot{x} + k\Delta x = \Delta p,$$

that is a third equation that relates our unknowns.

## Constant acceleration

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Substituting the above expressions for  $\Delta\dot{x}$  and  $\Delta\ddot{x}$  in the incremental eq. of motion and solving for  $\Delta x$  gives, finally,

$$\Delta x = \frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{k}}, \quad \Delta\dot{x} = \frac{2\Delta x - 2h\dot{x}_0}{h}$$

where

$$\tilde{k} = k + \frac{2c}{h} + \frac{4m}{h^2}$$

$$\tilde{p} = \Delta p + 2c\dot{x}_0 + m(2\ddot{x}_0 + \frac{4}{h}\dot{x}_0)$$

While it is possible to compute the final acceleration in terms of  $\Delta x$ , to achieve a better accuracy it is usually computed solving the equation of equilibrium written at the end of the time step.

## Constant Acceleration

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Two further remarks

1. The method is *unconditionally stable*
2. The effective stiffness, disregarding damping, is  $\tilde{k} \approx k + 4m/h^2$ .

Dividing both members of the above equation by  $k$  it is

$$\frac{\tilde{k}}{k} = 1 + \frac{4}{\omega_n^2 h^2} = 1 + \frac{4}{(2\pi/T_n)^2 h^2} = 1 + \frac{T_n^2}{\pi^2 h^2},$$

The number  $n_T$  of time steps in a period  $T_n$  is related to the time step duration,  $n_T = T_n/h$ , solving for  $h$  and substituting in our last equation, we have

$$\frac{\tilde{k}}{k} \approx 1 + \frac{n_T^2}{\pi^2}$$

E.g., for  $n_T = 2\pi$  (approx. 6 points per cycle) it is  $\tilde{k}/k \approx 1 + 4$ , the mass contribution to the effective stiffness is four times the elastic stiffness and the 80% of the total.

## Linear Acceleration

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

We assume that the acceleration is linear, i.e.

$$\ddot{x}(t) = \ddot{x}_0 + \Delta\ddot{x}\frac{\tau}{h}$$

hence

$$\Delta\dot{x} = \dot{x}_0 h + \Delta\ddot{x}h/2, \quad \Delta x = \dot{x}_0 h + \ddot{x}_0 h^2/2 + \Delta\ddot{x}h^2/6$$

Following a derivation similar to what we have seen in the case of constant acceleration, we can write, again,

$$\Delta x = \left(k + 3\frac{c}{h} + 6\frac{m}{h^2}\right)^{-1} \left[\Delta p + c(\ddot{x}_0 \frac{h}{2} + 3\dot{x}_0) + m(3\ddot{x}_0 + 6\frac{\dot{x}_0}{h})\right]$$

$$\Delta\dot{x} = \Delta x \frac{3}{h} - 3\dot{x}_0 - \ddot{x}_0 \frac{h}{2}$$

## Linear Acceleration

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

The linear acceleration method is *conditionally stable*, the stability condition being

$$\frac{h}{T} \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \approx 0.55$$

When dealing with SDOF systems, this condition is never of concern, as we need a shorter step to accurately describe the response of the oscillator, let's say  $h \leq 0.12T$ ...

When stability is not a concern, the accuracy of the linear acceleration method is far superior to the accuracy of the constant acceleration method, so that this is the method of choice for the analysis of SDOF systems.

## Newmark Beta Methods

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

The constant and linear acceleration methods are just two members of the family of Newmark Beta methods, where we write

$$\Delta\dot{x} = (1 - \gamma)h\ddot{x}_0 + \gamma h\ddot{x}_1$$

$$\Delta x = h\dot{x}_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right)h^2\ddot{x}_0 + \beta h^2\ddot{x}_1$$

The factor  $\gamma$  weights the influence of the initial and final accelerations on the velocity increment, while  $\beta$  has a similar role with respect to the displacement increment.

## Newmark Beta Methods

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Using  $\gamma \neq 1/2$  leads to numerical damping, so when analysing SDOF systems, one uses  $\gamma = 1/2$  (numerical damping may be desirable when dealing with MDOF systems).

Using  $\beta = \frac{1}{4}$  leads to the constant acceleration method, while  $\beta = \frac{1}{6}$  leads to the linear acceleration method. In the context of MDOF analysis, it's worth knowing what is the minimum  $\beta$  that leads to an unconditionally stable behaviour.

It turns out that, for  $\gamma = 0.5$ , the method is unconditionally stable for  $\beta \geq 0.25$ .

## Newmark Beta Methods

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

The general format for the solution of the incremental equation of motion using the Newmark Beta Method can be written as follows:

$$\Delta x = \frac{\Delta \tilde{p}}{\tilde{k}}$$
$$\Delta v = \frac{\gamma}{\beta} \frac{\Delta x}{h} - \frac{\gamma}{\beta} v_0 + h \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{2\beta}\right) a_0$$

with

$$\tilde{k} = k + \frac{\gamma c}{\beta h} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{m}{h^2}$$
$$\Delta \tilde{p} = \Delta p + \left( h \left( \frac{\gamma}{2\beta} - 1 \right) c + \frac{1}{2\beta} m \right) a_0 + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\beta} c + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{m}{h} \right) v_0$$

## Non Linear Systems

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

A convenient procedure for integrating the response of a non linear system is based on the incremental formulation of the equation of motion, where for the stiffness and the damping were taken values representative of their variation during the time step: in line of principle, the mean values of stiffness and damping during the time step, or, as this is usually not possible, their initial values,  $k_0$  and  $c_0$ . The Newton-Raphson method can be used to reduce the unbalanced forces at the end of the step.

## Non Linear Systems

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

### Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

Usually we use the modified Newton-Raphson method, characterised by not updating the system stiffness at each iteration. In pseudo-code, referring for example to the Newmark Beta Method

```
x1,v1,f1 = x0,v0,f0 % initialisation
gb=gamma/beta
Dr = DpTilde
loop:
  Dx = Dr/kTilde
  x2 = x1 + Dx
  v2 = gb*Dx/h + (1-gb)*v1 + (1-gb/2)*h*a0
  x_pl = update_u_pl(...)
  f2 = k*(x2-x_pl)
  % important
  Df = (f2-f1) + (kTilde-k_ini)*Dx
  Dr = Dr - Df
  x1, v1, f1 = x2, v2, f2
  if ( tol(...) < req_tol ) BREAK loop
```

## Exercise

A system has a mass  $m = 1000\text{kg}$ , a stiffness  $k = 40000\text{N/m}$  and a viscous damping whose ratio to the critical damping is  $\zeta = 0.03$ . The spring is elastoplastic, with a yielding force of  $2500\text{N}$ . The load is an half-sine impulse, with duration  $0.3\text{s}$  and maximum value of  $6000\text{N}$ . Use the constant acceleration method to integrate the response, with  $h = 0.05\text{s}$  and, successively,  $h = 0.02\text{s}$ . Note that the stiffness is either  $0$  or  $k$ , write down the expression for the effective stiffness and loading in the incremental formulation, write a spreadsheet or a program to make the computations.

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Examples of SbS  
Methods

Piecewise Exact  
Central Differences  
Integration  
Constant Acceleration  
Linear Acceleration  
Newmark Beta  
Non Linear Systems  
Newton-Raphson

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

## Part II

### Rigid Assemblages

## Outline

Introductory Remarks

Assemblage of Rigid Bodies

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

## Introductory Remarks

Until now our *SDOF*'s were described as composed by a single mass connected to a fixed reference by means of a spring and a damper.

While the mass-spring is a useful representation, many different, more complex systems can be studied as *SDOF* systems, either exactly or under some simplifying assumption.

1. *SDOF* rigid body assemblages, where flexibility is concentrated in a number of springs and dampers, can be studied, e.g., using the Principle of Virtual Displacements and the D'Alembert Principle.
2. simple structural systems can be studied, in an approximate manner, assuming a fixed pattern of displacements, whose amplitude (the single degree of freedom) varies with time.

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

## Further Remarks on Rigid Assemblages

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

Today we restrict our consideration to plane, 2-D systems. In rigid body assemblages the limitation to a single shape of displacement is a consequence of the configuration of the system, i.e., the disposition of supports and internal hinges. When the equation of motion is written in terms of a single parameter and its time derivatives, the terms that figure as coefficients in the equation of motion can be regarded as the *generalised* properties of the assemblage: generalised mass, damping and stiffness on left hand, generalised loading on right hand.

$$m^* \ddot{x} + c^* \dot{x} + k^* x = p^*(t)$$

## Final Remarks on Generalised *SDOF* Systems

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

From the previous comments, it should be apparent that everything we have seen regarding the behaviour and the integration of the equation of motion of proper *SDOF* systems applies to rigid body assemblages (we will see that it applies also to *SDOF* models of flexible systems), provided that we have the means for determining the *generalised* properties of the dynamical systems under investigation.

## Assemblages of Rigid Bodies

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

- ▶ planar, or bidimensional, rigid bodies, constrained to move in a plane,
- ▶ the flexibility is *concentrated* in discrete elements, springs and dampers,
- ▶ rigid bodies are connected to a fixed reference and to each other by means of springs, dampers and smooth, bilateral constraints (read hinges, double pendulums and rollers),
- ▶ inertial forces are distributed forces, acting on each material point of each rigid body, their resultant can be described by
  - ▶ a force applied to the centre of mass of the body, proportional to acceleration vector and total mass
 
$$M = \int dm$$
  - ▶ a couple, proportional to angular acceleration and the moment of inertia  $J$  of the rigid body,
 
$$J = \int (x^2 + y^2) dm.$$


## Rigid Bar

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies



Unit mass	$\bar{m} = \text{constant},$
Length	$L,$
Centre of Mass	$x_G = L/2,$
Total Mass	$m = \bar{m}L,$
Moment of Inertia	$J = m \frac{L^2}{12} = \bar{m} \frac{L^3}{12}$




## Rigid Rectangle

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies



Unit mass	$\gamma = \text{constant},$
Sides	$a, b$
Centre of Mass	$x_G = a/2, \quad y_G = b/2$
Total Mass	$m = \gamma ab,$
Moment of Inertia	$J = m \frac{a^2 + b^2}{12} = \gamma \frac{a^3 b + ab^3}{12}$


## Rigid Triangle

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies



For a right triangle.

Unit mass	$\gamma = \text{constant},$
Sides	$a, b$
Centre of Mass	$x_G = a/3, \quad y_G = b/3$
Total Mass	$m = \gamma ab/2,$
Moment of Inertia	$J = m \frac{a^2 + b^2}{18} = \gamma \frac{a^3 b + ab^3}{36}$

## Rigid Oval


SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

When  $a = b = R$  the oval is a circle.



Unit mass	$\gamma = \text{constant},$
Axes	$a, b$
Centre of Mass	$x_G = y_G = 0$
Total Mass	$m = \gamma \pi ab, \quad (= \gamma \pi R^2)$
Moment of Inertia	$J = m \frac{a^2 + b^2}{4}, \quad (= m \frac{R^2}{2})$


## trabacolo1

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi


Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies



The mass of the left bar is  $m_1 = \bar{m} 4a$  and its moment of inertia is  $J_1 = m_1 \frac{(4a)^2}{12} = 4a^2 m_1/3$ .  
The maximum value of the external load is  $P_{\max} = P 4a/a = 4P$  and the resultant of triangular load is  $R = 4P \times 4a/2 = 8Pa$

## Forces and Virtual Displacements



$$u = 7a - 4a \cos \theta_1 - 3a \cos \theta_2, \quad \delta u = 4a \sin \theta_1 \delta \theta_1 + 3a \sin \theta_2 \delta \theta_2$$

$$\delta \theta_1 = \delta Z / (4a), \quad \delta \theta_2 = \delta Z / (3a)$$

$$\sin \theta_1 \approx Z / (4a), \quad \sin \theta_2 \approx Z / (3a)$$

$$\delta u = \left( \frac{1}{4a} + \frac{1}{3a} \right) Z \delta Z = \frac{7}{12a} Z \delta Z$$


SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

## Principle of Virtual Displacements



The virtual work of the Inertial Damping Elastic External forces:

$$\delta W_I = -m_1 \frac{\ddot{Z}}{2} \frac{\delta Z}{2} - J_1 \frac{\ddot{Z}}{4a} \frac{\delta Z}{4a} - m_2 \frac{2\ddot{Z}}{3} \frac{2\delta Z}{3} - J_2 \frac{\ddot{Z}}{3a} \frac{\delta Z}{3a}$$

$$= - \left( \frac{m_1}{4} + 4 \frac{m_2}{9} + \frac{J_1}{16a^2} + \frac{J_2}{9a^2} \right) \ddot{Z} \delta Z$$

$$\delta W_D = -c_1 \frac{\dot{Z}}{4} \frac{\delta Z}{4} - c_2 Z \delta Z = - (c_2 + c_1/16) \dot{Z} \delta Z$$

$$\delta W_S = -k_1 \frac{3Z}{4} \frac{3\delta Z}{4} - k_2 \frac{Z}{3} \frac{\delta Z}{3} = - \left( \frac{9k_1}{16} + \frac{k_2}{9} \right) Z \delta Z$$

$$\delta W_{Ext} = 8Pa f(t) \frac{2\delta Z}{3} + N \frac{7}{12a} Z \delta Z$$

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

## Principle of Virtual Displacements

For a rigid body in condition of equilibrium the total virtual work must be equal to zero

$$\delta W_I + \delta W_D + \delta W_S + \delta W_{Ext} = 0$$

Substituting our expressions of the virtual work contributions and simplifying  $\delta Z$ , the equation of equilibrium is

$$\left( \frac{m_1}{4} + 4 \frac{m_2}{9} + \frac{J_1}{16a^2} + \frac{J_2}{9a^2} \right) \ddot{Z} + (c_2 + c_1/16) \dot{Z} + \left( \frac{9k_1}{16} + \frac{k_2}{9} \right) Z = 8Pa f(t) \frac{2}{3} + N \frac{7}{12a} Z$$

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies

## Principle of Virtual Displacements

Collecting  $Z$  and its time derivatives give us

$$m^* \ddot{Z} + c^* \dot{Z} + k^* Z = p^* f(t)$$

introducing the so called *generalised properties*, in our example it is

$$m^* = \frac{1}{4} m_1 + \frac{4}{9} m_2 + \frac{1}{16a^2} J_1 + \frac{1}{9a^2} J_2,$$

$$c^* = \frac{1}{16} c_1 + c_2,$$

$$k^* = \frac{9}{16} k_1 + \frac{1}{9} k_2 - \frac{7}{12a} N,$$

$$p^* = \frac{16}{3} Pa.$$

It is worth writing down the expression of  $k^*$ :

$$k^* = \frac{9k_1}{16} + \frac{k_2}{9} - \frac{7}{12a} N$$

Geometrical stiffness

SbS methods  
PVD

Giacomo Boffi

Introductory  
Remarks

Assemblage of  
Rigid Bodies