

# Structural Matrices in *MDOF* Systems

Giacomo Boffi

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ambientale, Politecnico di Milano

May 8, 2014

Introductory Remarks

Structural Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships

Additional Orthogonality Relationships

Evaluation of Structural Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Mass Matrix

Damping Matrix

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property Formulation

Static Condensation

Example

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Today we will study the properties of structural matrices, that is the operators that relate the vector of system coordinates  $\mathbf{x}$  and its time derivatives  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$  to the forces acting on the system nodes,  $\mathbf{f}_S$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_D$  and  $\mathbf{f}_I$ , respectively.

Today we will study the properties of structural matrices, that is the operators that relate the vector of system coordinates  $\mathbf{x}$  and its time derivatives  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$  to the forces acting on the system nodes,  $\mathbf{f}_S$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_D$  and  $\mathbf{f}_I$ , respectively.

In the end, we will see again the solution of a *MDOF* problem by superposition, and in general today we will revisit many of the subjects of our previous class, but you know

Today we will study the properties of structural matrices, that is the operators that relate the vector of system coordinates  $\mathbf{x}$  and its time derivatives  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$  to the forces acting on the system nodes,  $\mathbf{f}_S$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_D$  and  $\mathbf{f}_I$ , respectively.

In the end, we will see again the solution of a *MDOF* problem by superposition, and in general today we will revisit many of the subjects of our previous class, but you know that a bit of reiteration is really good for developing minds.

We already met the mass and the stiffness matrix,  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{K}$ , and tangentially we introduced also the damping matrix  $\mathbf{C}$ . We have seen that these matrices express the linear relation that holds between the vector of system coordinates  $\mathbf{x}$  and its time derivatives  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$  to the forces acting on the system nodes,  $\mathbf{f}_S$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_D$  and  $\mathbf{f}_I$ , elastic, damping and inertial force vectors.

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{f}_I + \mathbf{f}_D + \mathbf{f}_S = \mathbf{p}(t)$$

Also, we know that  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{K}$  are symmetric and definite positive, and that it is possible to uncouple the equation of motion expressing the system coordinates in terms of the *eigenvectors*,  $\mathbf{x}(t) = \sum q_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$ , where the  $q_i$  are the *modal coordinates* and the eigenvectors  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_i$  are the non-trivial solutions to the equation of free vibrations,

$$(\mathbf{K} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}) \boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{0}$$

From the homogeneous, undamped problem

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

introducing separation of variables

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{\psi} (A \sin \omega t + B \cos \omega t)$$

we wrote the homogeneous linear system

$$(\mathbf{K} - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}) \boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{0}$$

whose non-trivial solutions  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_i$  for  $\omega_i^2$  such that  $\|\mathbf{K} - \omega_i^2 \mathbf{M}\| = 0$  are the eigenvectors.

It was demonstrated that, for each pair of distinct *eigenvalues*  $\omega_r^2$  and  $\omega_s^2$ , the corresponding eigenvectors obey the orthogonality condition,

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_s^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r = \delta_{rs} M_r, \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}_s^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^2 M_r.$$

From

$$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships  
Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation



From

$$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^4 M_r,$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships  
Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

From

$$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^4 M_r,$$

premultiplying the first equation by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s =$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships  
Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

From

$$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^4 M_r,$$

premultiplying the first equation by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^6 M_r$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships  
Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

From

$$\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^4 M_r,$$

premultiplying the first equation by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1}$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \omega_r^6 M_r$$

and, generalizing,

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1})^b \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} (\omega_r^2)^{b+1} M_r.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships  
Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

# Additional Relationships, 2

From

$$\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s =$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships

Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

From

$$\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^2}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships

Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

From

$$\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^2}$$

premultiplying the first eq. by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^2$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s =$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships

Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

From

$$\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^2}$$

premultiplying the first eq. by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^2$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^4}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships

Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation



From

$$\mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$$

premultiplying by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1}$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^2}$$

premultiplying the first eq. by  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^2$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^2 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \omega_s^{-2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^4}$$

and, generalizing,

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^b \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s = \delta_{rs} \frac{M_s}{\omega_s^{2b}}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Orthogonality Relationships  
Additional Orthogonality  
Relationships

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

## Additional Relationships, 3

Defining  $X_{rs}(k) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K})^k \boldsymbol{\psi}_s$  we have

$$\begin{cases} X_{rs}(0) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s & = \delta_{rs} (\omega_s^2)^0 M_s \\ X_{rs}(1) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s & = \delta_{rs} (\omega_s^2)^1 M_s \\ X_{rs}(2) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1})^1 \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s & = \delta_{rs} (\omega_s^2)^2 M_s \\ \dots & \\ X_{rs}(n) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{M}^{-1})^{n-1} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s & = \delta_{rs} (\omega_s^2)^n M_s \end{cases}$$

Observing that  $(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K})^{-1} = (\mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M})^1$

$$\begin{cases} X_{rs}(-1) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^1 \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s & = \delta_{rs} (\omega_s^2)^{-1} M_s \\ \dots & \\ X_{rs}(-n) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_r^T (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{K}^{-1})^n \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\psi}_s & = \delta_{rs} (\omega_s^2)^{-n} M_s \end{cases}$$

finally

$$X_{rs}(k) = \delta_{rs} \omega_s^{2k} M_s \quad \text{for } k = -\infty, \dots, \infty.$$

Given a system whose state is determined by the generalized displacements  $x_j$  of a set of nodes, we define the flexibility  $f_{jk}$  as the deflection, in direction of  $x_j$ , due to the application of a unit force in correspondance of the displacement  $x_k$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{F} = [f_{jk}]$  is the *flexibility matrix*.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Given a system whose state is determined by the generalized displacements  $x_j$  of a set of nodes, we define the flexibility  $f_{jk}$  as the deflection, in direction of  $x_j$ , due to the application of a unit force in correspondance of the displacement  $x_k$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{F} = [f_{jk}]$  is the *flexibility matrix*.

In our context, the degrees of freedom are associated with external loads and/or inertial forces.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Given a system whose state is determined by the generalized displacements  $x_j$  of a set of nodes, we define the flexibility  $f_{jk}$  as the deflection, in direction of  $x_j$ , due to the application of a unit force in correspondance of the displacement  $x_k$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{F} = [f_{jk}]$  is the *flexibility matrix*.

In our context, the degrees of freedom are associated with external loads and/or inertial forces.

Given a load vector  $\mathbf{p} = \{p_k\}$  (of course the load components act in correspondance of the degrees of freedom), the individual displacement  $x_j$  is


$$x_j = \sum f_{jk} p_k$$

or, in vector notation,


$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}$$

[Introductory Remarks](#)[Structural Matrices](#)[Evaluation of Structural Matrices](#)[Flexibility Matrix](#)[Example](#)[Stiffness Matrix](#)[Strain Energy](#)[Symmetry](#)[Direct Assemblage](#)[Example](#)[Mass Matrix](#)[Consistent Mass Matrix](#)[Discussion](#)[Damping Matrix](#)[Example](#)[Geometric Stiffness](#)[External Loading](#)[Choice of Property Formulation](#)


# Example




The dynamical system



The degrees of freedom



Displacements due to  $p_1 = 1$



and due to  $p_2 = 1$ .

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assembly

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Each node shall be in equilibrium under the action of the external forces and the elastic forces, hence taking into accounts all the nodes, all the external forces and all the elastic forces it is possible to write the vector equation of equilibrium

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{f}_S$$

and, substituting in the previous vector expression of the displacements

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{f}_S$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Each node shall be in equilibrium under the action of the external forces and the elastic forces, hence taking into accounts all the nodes, all the external forces and all the elastic forces it is possible to write the vector equation of equilibrium

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{f}_S$$

and, substituting in the previous vector expression of the displacements

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{f}_S$$

Pre-multiplying by  $\mathbf{F}^{-1}$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{F}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{f}_S = \mathbf{f}_S.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation



The *stiffness matrix*  $\mathbf{K}$  can be simply defined as the inverse of the flexibility matrix  $\mathbf{F}$ ,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}.$$

Alternatively the single coefficient  $k_{ij}$  can be defined as the external force (equal and opposite to the corresponding elastic force) applied to the *DOF* number  $i$  that gives place to a displacement vector  $\mathbf{x}^{(j)} = \{x_n\} = \{\delta_{nj}\}$ , where all the components are equal to zero, except for  $x_j^{(j)} = 1$ .

$$\sum_n f_{in} k_{nj} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{k}_j = \delta_{ij}$$

where  $\mathbf{k}_s$  is the vector containing the coefficients  $k_{rs}$ .

Introductory  
RemarksStructural  
MatricesEvaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Collecting all the  $\mathbf{x}^{(j)}$  in a matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ , it is  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}$  and we have, writing all the equations at once,

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{F} [k_{ij}], \Rightarrow [k_{ij}] = \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}.$$

Finally,

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{f}_S = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The elastic strain energy  $V$  can be written in terms of displacements and external forces,

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}, \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}, \\ \mathbf{p}^T \end{array} \right.$$

Because the elastic strain energy of a stable system is always greater than zero,  $\mathbf{K}$  is a positive definite matrix.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

**Strain Energy**

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The elastic strain energy  $V$  can be written in terms of displacements and external forces,

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p}^T \underbrace{\mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{x}}, \\ \underbrace{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}^T}. \end{array} \right.$$

Because the elastic strain energy of a stable system is always greater than zero,  $\mathbf{K}$  is a positive definite matrix. On the other hand, for an unstable system, think of a compressed beam, there are displacement patterns that are associated to zero strain energy.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

**Strain Energy**

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

When two sets of loads,  $\mathbf{p}^A$  and  $\mathbf{p}^B$ , are applied one after the other to an elastic system; the work done is

$$V_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^A + \mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^B + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^B.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

**Symmetry**

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

When two sets of loads,  $\mathbf{p}^A$  and  $\mathbf{p}^B$ , are applied one after the other to an elastic system; the work done is

$$V_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^A + \mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^B + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^B.$$

If we revert the order of application the work is

$$V_{BA} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^B + \mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^A + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^A.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

When two sets of loads,  $\mathbf{p}^A$  and  $\mathbf{p}^B$ , are applied one after the other to an elastic system; the work done is

$$V_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^A + \mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^B + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^B.$$

If we revert the order of application the work is

$$V_{BA} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^B + \mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^A + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^A.$$

The total work being independent of the order of loading,

$$\mathbf{p}^{AT}\mathbf{x}^B = \mathbf{p}^{BT}\mathbf{x}^A.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Expressing the displacements in terms of  $\mathbf{F}$ ,

$$\mathbf{p}^{A^T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^B = \mathbf{p}^{B^T} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^A,$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

**Symmetry**

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation



Expressing the displacements in terms of  $\mathbf{F}$ ,

$$\mathbf{p}^{AT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^B = \mathbf{p}^{BT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^A,$$

both terms are scalars so we can write

$$\mathbf{p}^{AT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^B = \left( \mathbf{p}^{BT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^A \right)^T = \mathbf{p}^{AT} \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{p}^B.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Expressing the displacements in terms of  $\mathbf{F}$ ,

$$\mathbf{p}^{AT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^B = \mathbf{p}^{BT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^A,$$

both terms are scalars so we can write

$$\mathbf{p}^{AT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^B = \left( \mathbf{p}^{BT} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{p}^A \right)^T = \mathbf{p}^{AT} \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{p}^B.$$

Because this equation holds for every  $\mathbf{p}$ , we conclude that

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}^T,$$

and, as the inverse of a symmetric matrix is symmetric,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}^T.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

# A practical consideration

For the kind of *structures* we mostly deal with in our examples, problems, exercises and assignments, that is *simple structures*, it is usually convenient to compute the flexibility matrix applying the Principle of Virtual Displacements (we have seen an example last week) and inverting the flexibility to obtain the stiffness matrix,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

For the kind of *structures* we mostly deal with in our examples, problems, exercises and assignments, that is *simple structures*, it is usually convenient to compute the flexibility matrix applying the Principle of Virtual Displacements (we have seen an example last week) and inverting the flexibility to obtain the stiffness matrix,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}$$

.  
For general structures, large and/or complex, the PVD approach cannot work in practice, as the number of degrees of freedom necessary to model the structural behaviour exceed our ability to do pencil and paper computations...

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

For the kind of *structures* we mostly deal with in our examples, problems, exercises and assignments, that is *simple structures*, it is usually convenient to compute the flexibility matrix applying the Principle of Virtual Displacements (we have seen an example last week) and inverting the flexibility to obtain the stiffness matrix,

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}$$

.

For general structures, large and/or complex, the PVD approach cannot work in practice, as the number of degrees of freedom necessary to model the structural behaviour exceed our ability to do pencil and paper computations... Different methods are required to construct the stiffness matrix for such large, complex structures.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

**Direct Assemblage**

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation



The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly
- ▶ the *element stiffness matrix*,  $\mathbf{K}_{el}$  establishes a linear relation between an element nodal displacements and forces,

Introductory  
RemarksStructural  
MatricesEvaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly
- ▶ the *element stiffness matrix*,  $\mathbf{K}_{el}$  establishes a linear relation between an element nodal displacements and forces,
- ▶ the state of the structure can be described in terms of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of generalized *nodal displacements*,

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly
- ▶ the *element stiffness matrix*,  $\mathbf{K}_{el}$  establishes a linear relation between an element nodal displacements and forces,
- ▶ the state of the structure can be described in terms of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of generalized *nodal displacements*,
- ▶ there is a mapping between element and structure *DOF*'s,  $i_{el} \mapsto r$ ,

Introductory  
RemarksStructural  
MatricesEvaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly
- ▶ the *element stiffness matrix*,  $\mathbf{K}_{el}$  establishes a linear relation between an element nodal displacements and forces,
- ▶ the state of the structure can be described in terms of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of generalized *nodal displacements*,
- ▶ there is a mapping between element and structure *DOF*'s,  $i_{el} \mapsto r$ ,
- ▶ for each *FE*, all local  $k_{ij}$ 's are contributed to the global stiffness  $k_{rs}$ 's, with  $i \mapsto r$  and  $j \mapsto s$ , taking in due consideration differences between local and global systems of reference.

Introductory  
RemarksStructural  
MatricesEvaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly
- ▶ the *element stiffness matrix*,  $\mathbf{K}_{el}$  establishes a linear relation between an element nodal displacements and forces,
- ▶ the state of the structure can be described in terms of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of generalized *nodal displacements*,
- ▶ there is a mapping between element and structure *DOF*'s,  $i_{el} \mapsto r$ ,
- ▶ for each *FE*, all local  $k_{ij}$ 's are contributed to the global stiffness  $k_{rs}$ 's, with  $i \mapsto r$  and  $j \mapsto s$ , taking in due consideration differences between local and global systems of reference.

Introductory  
RemarksStructural  
MatricesEvaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The most common procedure to construct the matrices that describe the behaviour of a complex system is the *Finite Element Method*, or *FEM*. The procedure can be sketched in the following terms:

- ▶ the structure is subdivided in non-overlapping portions, the *finite elements*, bounded by *nodes*, connected by the same nodes,
- ▶ the displacements, strains, stresses in the *fe* are described in terms of a linear combination of shape functions, weighted in according to the nodal displacements, element matrices are computed accordingly
- ▶ the *element stiffness matrix*,  $\mathbf{K}_{el}$  establishes a linear relation between an element nodal displacements and forces,
- ▶ the state of the structure can be described in terms of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of generalized *nodal displacements*,
- ▶ there is a mapping between element and structure *DOF*'s,  $i_{el} \mapsto r$ ,
- ▶ for each *FE*, all local  $k_{ij}$ 's are contributed to the global stiffness  $k_{rs}$ 's, with  $i \mapsto r$  and  $j \mapsto s$ , taking in due consideration differences between local and global systems of reference.

Note that in the  $r$ -th *global* equation of equilibrium we have internal forces caused by the nodal displacements of the *FE* that have nodes  $i_{el}$  such that  $i_{el} \mapsto r$ , thus implying that global  $\mathbf{K}$  is a *sparse* matrix.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Consider a 2-D inextensible beam element, that has 4 *DOF*, namely two transverse end displacements  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and two end rotations,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$ . The element stiffness is computed using 4 shape functions  $\phi_i$ , the transverse displacement being  $v(s) = \sum_i \phi_i(s)x_i$ , the different  $\phi_i$  are such all end displacements or rotation are zero, except the one corresponding to index  $i$ .

The shape functions for a beam are

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(s) &= 1 - 3\left(\frac{s}{L}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{s}{L}\right)^3, & \phi_2(s) &= 3\left(\frac{s}{L}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{s}{L}\right)^3, \\ \phi_3(s) &= s\left(1 - \left(\frac{s}{L}\right)^2\right), & \phi_4(s) &= s\left(\left(\frac{s}{L}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{s}{L}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

**Example**

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

## Example, 2

The element stiffness coefficients can be computed using, what else, the PVD: we compute the external virtual work done by a variation  $\delta x_i$  by the force due to a unit displacement  $x_j$ , that is  $k_{ij}$ ,

$$\delta W_{\text{ext}} = \delta x_i k_{ij},$$

the virtual internal work is the work done by the variation of the curvature,  $\delta x_i \phi_i''(s)$  by the bending moment associated with a unit  $x_j$ ,  $\phi_j''(s)EJ(s)$ ,

$$\delta W_{\text{int}} = \int_0^L \delta x_i \phi_i''(s) \phi_j''(s) EJ(s) ds.$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation



## Example, 3

The equilibrium condition is the equivalence of the internal and external virtual works, so that simplifying  $\delta x_i$  we have

$$k_{ij} = \int_0^L \phi_i''(s)\phi_j''(s)EJ(s) ds.$$

For  $EJ = \text{const}$ ,

$$\mathbf{f}_S = \frac{EJ}{L^3} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -12 & 6L & 6L \\ -12 & 12 & -6L & -6L \\ 6L & -6L & 4L^2 & 2L^2 \\ 6L & -6L & 2L^2 & 4L^2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

**Example**

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix


Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

# Blackboard Time!



Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

**Example**

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The mass matrix maps the nodal accelerations to nodal inertial forces, and the most common assumption is to concentrate all masses in nodal point masses, without rotational inertia, computed *lumping* a fraction of each element mass (or a fraction of the supported mass) on all its bounding nodes.

This procedure leads to a so called *lumped* mass matrix, a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements greater than zero for all the translational degrees of freedom, and diagonal elements equal to zero for angular degrees of freedom.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

**Mass Matrix**

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

The mass matrix is definite positive *only* if all the structure *DOF*'s are translational degrees of freedom, otherwise  $\mathbf{M}$  is semi-definite positive and the eigenvalue procedure is not directly applicable. This problem can be overcome either by using a *consistent* mass matrix or using the *static condensation* procedure.

# Consistent Mass Matrix

A consistent mass matrix is built using the rigorous *FEM* procedure, computing the nodal reactions that equilibrate the distributed inertial forces that develop in the element due to a linear combination of inertial forces.

Using our beam example as a reference, consider the inertial forces associated with a single nodal acceleration  $\ddot{x}_j$ ,  $f_{i,j}(s) = m(s)\phi_j(s)\ddot{x}_j$  and denote with  $m_{ij}\ddot{x}_j$  the reaction associated with the  $i$ -nth degree of freedom of the element, by the PVD

$$\delta x_i m_{ij} \ddot{x}_j = \int \delta x_i \phi_i(s) m(s) \phi_j(s) ds \ddot{x}_j$$

simplifying

$$m_{ij} = \int m(s) \phi_i(s) \phi_j(s) ds.$$

For  $m(s) = \bar{m} = \text{const.}$

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \frac{\bar{m}L}{420} \begin{bmatrix} 156 & 54 & 22L & -13L \\ 54 & 156 & 13L & -22L \\ 22L & 13L & 4L^2 & -3L^2 \\ -13L & -22L & -3L^2 & 4L^2 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assembly

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

## Pro

- ▶ some convergence theorem of *FEM* theory holds only if the mass matrix is consistent,
- ▶ slightly more accurate results,
- ▶ no need for static condensation.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

**Discussion**

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

## Pro

- ▶ some convergence theorem of *FEM* theory holds only if the mass matrix is consistent,
- ▶ slightly more accurate results,
- ▶ no need for static condensation.

## Contra

- ▶  $\mathbf{M}$  is no more diagonal, heavy computational aggravation,
- ▶ static condensation is computationally beneficial, inasmuch it *reduces* the global number of degrees of freedom.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

**Discussion**

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

For each element  $c_{ij} = \int c(s)\phi_i(s)\phi_j(s) ds$  and the damping matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  can be assembled from element contributions.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

**Damping Matrix**

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation



For each element  $c_{ij} = \int c(s)\phi_i(s)\phi_j(s) ds$  and the damping matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  can be assembled from element contributions. However, using the FEM  $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{\Psi}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Psi}$  is not diagonal and hence the modal equations are no more uncoupled!

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

**Damping Matrix**

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

For each element  $c_{ij} = \int c(s)\phi_i(s)\phi_j(s) ds$  and the damping matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  can be assembled from element contributions.

However, using the FEM  $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{\Psi}^T \mathbf{C} \mathbf{\Psi}$  is not diagonal and hence the modal equations are no more uncoupled!

The alternative is to write directly the global damping matrix, in terms of the underdetermined coefficients  $\mathbf{c}_b$  and the infinite sequence of orthogonal matrices we described previously:

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_b \mathbf{c}_b \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K})^b .$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assembly

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

With our definition of  $\mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_b c_b \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K})^b,$$

assuming normalized eigenvectors, we can write the individual component of  $\mathbf{C}^* = \boldsymbol{\Psi}^T \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$

$$c_{ij}^* = \boldsymbol{\psi}_i^T \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j = \delta_{ij} \sum_b c_b \omega_j^{2b}$$

due to the additional orthogonality relations, we recognize that now  $\mathbf{C}^*$  is a diagonal matrix.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

With our definition of  $\mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_b \mathbf{c}_b \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K})^b,$$

assuming normalized eigenvectors, we can write the individual component of  $\mathbf{C}^* = \boldsymbol{\Psi}^T \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\Psi}$

$$c_{ij}^* = \boldsymbol{\psi}_i^T \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j = \delta_{ij} \sum_b \mathbf{c}_b \omega_j^{2b}$$

due to the additional orthogonality relations, we recognize that now  $\mathbf{C}^*$  is a diagonal matrix.

Introducing the modal damping  $C_j$  we have

$$C_j = \boldsymbol{\psi}_j^T \mathbf{C} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j = \sum_b \mathbf{c}_b \omega_j^{2b} = 2\zeta_j \omega_j$$

and we can write a system of linear equations in the  $\mathbf{c}_b$ .

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

# Example

We want a fixed, 5% damping ratio for the first three modes, taking note that the modal equation of motion is

$$\ddot{q}_i + 2\zeta_i\omega_i\dot{q}_i + \omega_i^2q_i = p_i^*$$

Using

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{c}_0\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{c}_1\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{c}_2\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{K}$$

we have


$$2 \times 0.05 \begin{Bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega_1^2 & \omega_1^4 \\ 1 & \omega_2^2 & \omega_2^4 \\ 1 & \omega_3^2 & \omega_3^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_0 \\ \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Solving for the  $\mathbf{c}$ 's and substituting above, the resulting damping matrix is orthogonal to every eigenvector of the system, for the first three modes, leads to a modal damping ratio that is equal to 5%.

# Example

Computing the coefficients  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  to have a 5% damping at frequencies  $\omega_1 = 2$ ,  $\omega_2 = 5$  and  $\omega_3 = 8$  we have  $c_0 = 1200/9100$ ,  $c_1 = 159/9100$  and  $c_2 = -1/9100$ .

Writing  $\zeta(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{c_0}{\omega} + c_1\omega + c_2\omega^3 \right)$  we can plot the above function, along with its two term equivalent ( $c_0 = 10/70$ ,  $c_1 = 1/70$ ).



Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness


External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

# Example

Computing the coefficients  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  to have a 5% damping at frequencies  $\omega_1 = 2$ ,  $\omega_2 = 5$  and  $\omega_3 = 8$  we have  $c_0 = 1200/9100$ ,  $c_1 = 159/9100$  and  $c_2 = -1/9100$ .

Writing  $\zeta(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{c_0}{\omega} + c_1\omega + c_2\omega^3 \right)$  we can plot the above function, along with its two term equivalent ( $c_0 = 10/70$ ,  $c_1 = 1/70$ ).



Negative damping? No, thank you: use only an even number of terms.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example


Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

A common assumption is based on a linear approximation, for a beam element

$$\mathbf{f}_G = \frac{N}{L} \begin{bmatrix} +1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & +1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$



It is possible to compute the geometrical stiffness matrix using *FEM*, shape functions and PVD,

$$k_{G,ij} = \int N(s)\phi'_i(s)\phi'_j(s) ds,$$

for constant  $N$

$$\mathbf{K}_G = \frac{N}{30L} \begin{bmatrix} 36 & -36 & 3L & 3L \\ -36 & 36 & -3L & -3L \\ 3L & -3L & 4L^2 & -L^2 \\ 3L & -3L & -L^2 & 4L^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assembly

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

**Geometric Stiffness**

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation



Following the same line of reasoning that we applied to find nodal inertial forces, by the PVD and the use of shape functions we have

$$p_i(t) = \int p(s, t)\phi_i(s) ds.$$

For a constant, uniform load  $p(s, t) = \bar{p} = \text{const}$ , applied on a beam element,

$$\mathbf{p} = \bar{p}L \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{L}{12} \quad -\frac{L}{12} \right\}^T$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Flexibility Matrix

Example

Stiffness Matrix

Strain Energy

Symmetry

Direct Assemblage

Example

Mass Matrix

Consistent Mass Matrix

Discussion

Damping Matrix

Example

Geometric Stiffness

External Loading

Choice of Property  
Formulation

## Simplified Approach

Some structural parameter is approximated, only translational *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

## Simplified Approach

Some structural parameter is approximated, only translational *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

## Consistent Approach

All structural parameters are computed according to the *FEM*, and all *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

## Simplified Approach

Some structural parameter is approximated, only translational *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

## Consistent Approach

All structural parameters are computed according to the *FEM*, and all *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

If we choose a simplified approach, we must use a procedure to remove unneeded structural *DOF*'s from the model that we use for the dynamic analysis.

## Simplified Approach

Some structural parameter is approximated, only translational *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

## Consistent Approach

All structural parameters are computed according to the *FEM*, and all *DOF*'s are retained in dynamic analysis.

If we choose a simplified approach, we must use a procedure to remove unneeded structural *DOF*'s from the model that we use for the dynamic analysis.  
Enter the *Static Condensation Method*.

We have, from a *FEM* analysis, a stiffness matrix that uses all nodal *DOF*'s, and from the lumped mass procedure a mass matrix where only translational (and maybe a few rotational) *DOF*'s are blessed with a non zero diagonal term.

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Static Condensation

Example

We have, from a *FEM* analysis, a stiffness matrix that uses all nodal *DOF*'s, and from the lumped mass procedure a mass matrix were only translational (and maybe a few rotational) *DOF*'s are blessed with a non zero diagonal term.

In this case, we can always rearrange and partition the displacement vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in two subvectors:

- $\mathbf{x}_A$  all the *DOF*'s that are associated with inertial forces and
- $\mathbf{x}_B$  all the remaining *DOF*'s not associated with inertial forces.

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_A \\ \mathbf{x}_B \end{Bmatrix}$$

After rearranging the *DOF*'s, we must rearrange also the rows (equations) and the columns (force contributions) in the structural matrices, and eventually partition the matrices so that

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_I \\ \mathbf{0} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{AA} & \mathbf{M}_{AB} \\ \mathbf{M}_{BA} & \mathbf{M}_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A \\ \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_B \end{Bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{f}_S = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{AA} & \mathbf{K}_{AB} \\ \mathbf{K}_{BA} & \mathbf{K}_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_A \\ \mathbf{x}_B \end{Bmatrix}$$

with

$$\mathbf{M}_{BA} = \mathbf{M}_{AB}^T = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{M}_{BB} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{K}_{BA} = \mathbf{K}_{AB}^T$$



After rearranging the *DOF*'s, we must rearrange also the rows (equations) and the columns (force contributions) in the structural matrices, and eventually partition the matrices so that

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_I \\ \mathbf{0} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_{AA} & \mathbf{M}_{AB} \\ \mathbf{M}_{BA} & \mathbf{M}_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A \\ \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_B \end{Bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{f}_S = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_{AA} & \mathbf{K}_{AB} \\ \mathbf{K}_{BA} & \mathbf{K}_{BB} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_A \\ \mathbf{x}_B \end{Bmatrix}$$

with

$$\mathbf{M}_{BA} = \mathbf{M}_{AB}^T = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{M}_{BB} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{K}_{BA} = \mathbf{K}_{AB}^T$$

Finally we rearrange the loadings vector and write...

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Static Condensation  
Example

... the equation of dynamic equilibrium,

$$\mathbf{p}_A = \mathbf{M}_{AA}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A + \mathbf{M}_{AB}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_B + \mathbf{K}_{AA}\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{K}_{AB}\mathbf{x}_B$$

$$\mathbf{p}_B = \mathbf{M}_{BA}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A + \mathbf{M}_{BB}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_B + \mathbf{K}_{BA}\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{K}_{BB}\mathbf{x}_B$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Static Condensation

Example

... the equation of dynamic equilibrium,

$$\mathbf{p}_A = \mathbf{M}_{AA}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A + \mathbf{M}_{AB}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_B + \mathbf{K}_{AA}\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{K}_{AB}\mathbf{x}_B$$

$$\mathbf{p}_B = \mathbf{M}_{BA}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A + \mathbf{M}_{BB}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_B + \mathbf{K}_{BA}\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{K}_{BB}\mathbf{x}_B$$

The highlighted terms are zero vectors, so we can simplify

$$\mathbf{M}_{AA}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A + \mathbf{K}_{AA}\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{K}_{AB}\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{p}_A$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{BA}\mathbf{x}_A + \mathbf{K}_{BB}\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{p}_B$$

solving for  $\mathbf{x}_B$  in the 2nd equation and substituting

$$\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_B - \mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{BA}\mathbf{x}_A$$

$$\mathbf{p}_A - \mathbf{K}_{AB}\mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1}\mathbf{p}_B = \mathbf{M}_{AA}\ddot{\mathbf{x}}_A + (\mathbf{K}_{AA} - \mathbf{K}_{AB}\mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1}\mathbf{K}_{BA})\mathbf{x}_A$$


Going back to the homogeneous problem, with obvious positions we can write

$$(\bar{\mathbf{K}} - \omega^2 \bar{\mathbf{M}}) \boldsymbol{\psi}_A = \mathbf{0}$$

but the  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_A$  are only part of the structural eigenvectors, because in essentially every application we must consider also the other *DOF*'s, so we write

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \begin{Bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{A,i} \\ \boldsymbol{\psi}_{B,i} \end{Bmatrix}, \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\psi}_{B,i} = \mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{BA} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{A,i}$$

# Example



$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{2EJ}{L^3} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 3L & 3L \\ 3L & 6L^2 & 2L^2 \\ 3L & 2L^2 & 6L^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{BB} = \frac{4EJ}{L} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1} = \frac{L}{32EJ} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{K}_{AB} = \frac{6EJ}{L^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{K}_{AB} \mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{AB}^T = \frac{6EJ}{L^2} \frac{L}{32EJ} \frac{6EJ}{L^2} \times 4 = \frac{9}{2} \frac{EJ}{L^3}$$

The matrix  $\bar{\mathbf{K}}$  is

$$\bar{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{K}_{AA} - \mathbf{K}_{AB} \mathbf{K}_{BB}^{-1} \mathbf{K}_{AB}^T = \left(24 - \frac{9}{2}\right) \frac{EJ}{L^3} = \frac{39}{2} \frac{EJ}{L^3}$$

Introductory  
Remarks

Structural  
Matrices

Evaluation of  
Structural  
Matrices

Choice of Property  
Formulation

Static Condensation

Example