Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ambientale, Politecnico di Milano

May 12, 2015

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

.

Matrix Itaration

Alternative

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

inverse iteration

vith Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Second Mode Analysis

Higher Modes

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures
Rayleigh Quotient
Rayleigh-Ritz Method
Subspace Iteration

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

inginer modes

Matrix Iteratio

Alternative

Dynamic analysis of *MDOF* systems based on modal superposition is both simple and efficient

- simple: the modal response can be easily computed, analitically or numerically, with the techniques we have seen for SDOF systems,
- efficient: in most cases, only the modal responses of a few lower modes are required to accurately describe the structural response.

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Higher Mode

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

As the structural matrices are easily assembled using the *FEM*, our modal superposition procedure is ready to be applied to structures with tenth, thousands or millions of *DOF*'s! except that we can compute the eigenpairs only when the analyzed structure has two, three or maybe four degrees of freedom...

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Higher iviode

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

As the structural matrices are easily assembled using the *FEM*, our modal superposition procedure is ready to be applied to structures with tenth, thousands or millions of *DOF*'s! except that we can compute the eigenpairs only when the analyzed structure has two, three or maybe four degrees of freedom...

We will discuss how it is possible to compute the eigenpairs of arbitrary dynamic systems using the so called *Matrix Iterations* procedure and a number of variations derived from this fundamental idea.

ntroduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

righer ivioues

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

First, we will see an iterative procedure whose outputs are the first, or fundamental, mode shape vector and the corresponding eigenvalue.

When an undamped system freely vibrates with a harmonic time dependency of frequency ω_i , the equation of motion, simplifying the time dependency, is

$$\mathbf{K}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{M}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_i.$$

Analysis

Income the series

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

First, we will see an iterative procedure whose outputs are the first, or fundamental, mode shape vector and the corresponding eigenvalue.

When an undamped system freely vibrates with a harmonic time dependency of frequency ω_i , the equation of motion, simplifying the time dependency, is

$$\mathbf{K}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{M}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_i.$$

In equilibrium terms, the elastic forces are equal to the inertial forces when the systems oscillates with frequency ω_i and mode shape $\pmb{\psi}_i$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

....

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Our iterative procedure will be based on finding a new displacement vector \mathbf{x}_{n+1} such that the elastic forces $\mathbf{f}_{S} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ are in equilibrium with the inertial forces due to the *old* displacement vector \mathbf{x}_{n} , $\mathbf{f}_{I} = \omega_{i}^{2} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_{n}$,

$$\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_n.$$

Premultiplying by the inverse of \mathbf{K} and introducing the Dynamic Matrix, $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{M}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \, \mathbf{x}_n.$$

Our iterative procedure will be based on finding a new displacement vector \mathbf{x}_{n+1} such that the elastic forces $\mathbf{f}_{S} = \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_{n+1}$ are in equilibrium with the inertial forces due to the *old* displacement vector \mathbf{x}_{n} , $\mathbf{f}_{I} = \omega_{i}^{2} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_{n}$,

$$\mathbf{K}\,\mathbf{x}_{n+1}=\omega_i^2\mathbf{M}\,\mathbf{x}_n.$$

Premultiplying by the inverse of \mathbf{K} and introducing the Dynamic Matrix, $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{M}$

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \, \mathbf{x}_n.$$

In the generative equation above we miss a fundamental part, the square of the free vibration frequency ω_i^2 .

Giacomo Boffi

IILIOGUCLIOII

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

The Matrix Iteration Procedure, 1

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

This problem is solved considering the \mathbf{x}_n as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an *unnormalized* new displacement vector, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$,

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on ω_i^2 .

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

righer ivioues

inverse iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

righer ivioues

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

This problem is solved considering the \mathbf{x}_n as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an *unnormalized* new displacement vector, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on ω_i^2 .

The normalized vector is obtained applying to $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$ a normalizing factor, \mathfrak{F}_{n+1} ,

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}}{\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}},$$

but
$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}, \Rightarrow \frac{1}{3} = \omega_i^2$$

This problem is solved considering the \mathbf{x}_n as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an *unnormalized* new displacement vector, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on ω_i^2 .

but

The normalized vector is obtained applying to $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$ a normalizing factor, \mathfrak{F}_{n+1} ,

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}}{\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}},$$
 $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \, \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\mathfrak{T}} = \omega_i^2$

If we agree that, near convergence, $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} \approx \mathbf{x}_n$, substituting in the previous equation we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} \approx \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_i^2 \approx \frac{\mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}}.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Higher Modes

Inverse Iteration

vith Shifts

Alternative Procedures This problem is solved considering the \mathbf{x}_n as a sequence of *normalized* vectors and introducing the idea of an *unnormalized* new displacement vector, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n$$

note that we removed the explicit dependency on ω_i^2 .

The normalized vector is obtained applying to $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$ a normalizing factor, \mathfrak{F}_{n+1} ,

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}}{\mathfrak{F}_{n+1}},$$

but
$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \omega_i^2 \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}, \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathfrak{F}} = \omega_i^2$$

If we agree that, near convergence, $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} \approx \mathbf{x}_n$, substituting in the previous equation we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} \approx \mathbf{x}_n = \omega_i^2 \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_i^2 \approx \frac{\mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}}.$$

Of course the division of two vectors is not an option, so we want to twist it into something useful.

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

riigilei wodes

miverse recration

Alternative

Alternative Procedures

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

First, consider $\mathbf{x}_n = \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$: in this case, for $j = 1, \dots, N$ it is

$$x_{n,j}/\hat{x}_{n+1,j}=\omega_i^2.$$

Analogously for $\mathbf{x}_n \neq \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$ it was demonstrated that

$$\min_{j=1,\dots,N} \left\{ \frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}} \right\} \leq \omega_i^2 \leq \max_{j=1,\dots,N} \left\{ \frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}} \right\}.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

First, consider $\mathbf{x}_n = \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$: in this case, for j = 1, ..., N it is

$$x_{n,j}/\hat{x}_{n+1,j}=\omega_i^2.$$

Analogously for $\mathbf{x}_n \neq \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$ it was demonstrated that

$$\min_{j=1,\ldots,N} \left\{ \frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}} \right\} \leq \omega_i^2 \leq \max_{j=1,\ldots,N} \left\{ \frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}} \right\}.$$

A more rational approach would make reference to a proper vector norm, so using our preferred vector norm we can write

$$\omega_i^2 pprox rac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{M} \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}},$$

First, consider $\mathbf{x}_n = \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$: in this case, for j = 1, ..., N it is

$$x_{n,j}/\hat{x}_{n+1,j}=\omega_i^2.$$

Analogously for $\mathbf{x}_n \neq \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$ it was demonstrated that

$$\min_{j=1,\ldots,N} \left\{ \frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}} \right\} \leq \omega_i^2 \leq \max_{j=1,\ldots,N} \left\{ \frac{x_{n,j}}{\hat{x}_{n+1,j}} \right\}.$$

A more rational approach would make reference to a proper vector norm, so using our preferred vector norm we can write

$$\omega_i^2 pprox rac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}^I \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{M} \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}},$$

(if memory helps, this is equivalent to the R_{11} approximation, that we introduced studying Rayleigh quotient refinements).

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Income language

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Until now we postulated that the sequence \mathbf{x}_n converges to some, unspecified eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\psi}_i$, now we will demonstrate that the sequence converge to the first (or fundamental) mode shape.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{x}_n=\boldsymbol{\psi}_1.$$

1. Expand \mathbf{x}_0 in terms of eigenvectors and modal coordinates:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \pmb{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} + \pmb{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} + \pmb{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} + \cdots$$
 ,

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Halysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration vith Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Proof of Convergence, 1

Until now we postulated that the sequence \mathbf{x}_n converges to some, unspecified eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\psi}_i$, now we will demonstrate that the sequence converge to the first (or fundamental) mode shape,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{x}_n=\boldsymbol{\psi}_1.$$

1. Expand \mathbf{x}_0 in terms of eigenvectors and modal coordinates:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \pmb{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} + \pmb{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} + \pmb{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} + \cdots$$
 ,

2. The inertial forces, assuming that the system is vibrating according to the fundamental frequency, are

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{f}_{I,n=0} &= \omega_1^2 \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} + \mathbf{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} + \mathbf{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} + \cdots \right) \\ &= \mathbf{M} \left(\omega_1^2 \mathbf{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} + \omega_2^2 \mathbf{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} + \cdots \right). \end{split}$$

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Anaiysis

tarrama tarrastan

Matrix Iteration vith Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Higher iviodes

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

3. The deflections due to these forces (no hat!, we have multiplied by ω_1^2) are

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=1} = \mathbf{K}^{-1}\mathbf{M}\left(\omega_1^2\boldsymbol{\psi}_1q_{1,0}\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} + \omega_2^2\boldsymbol{\psi}_2q_{2,0}\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} + \cdots\right),$$

(note that we have multiplied and divided each term by ω_i^2).

Allalysis

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

3. The deflections due to these forces (no hat!, we have multiplied by ω_1^2) are

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=1} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \left(\omega_1^2 \psi_1 q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} + \omega_2^2 \psi_2 q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} + \cdots \right),$$

(note that we have multiplied and divided each term by ω_i^2).

4. Using $\omega_j^2 \mathbf{M} \, \boldsymbol{\psi}_j = \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_j$,

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=1} = \mathbf{K}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1} q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}} + \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}} + \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{3} q_{3,0} \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{\omega_{3}^{2}} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1} q_{1,0} \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2}} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} q_{2,0} \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{\omega_{2}^{2}} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{3} q_{3,0} \frac{\omega_{1}^{2}}{\omega_{3}^{2}} + \cdots$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

inverse iteration

Alternative

5. Applying again the previous procedure, i.e., premultiply the right member by $\omega_1^2 \mathbf{D}$, multiplying and dividing each term by ω_i^2 , symplifying, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=2} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} \right)^2 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} \right)^2 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_3^2} \right)^2 + \cdots$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Manufacturation

Alternative

5. Applying again the previous procedure, i.e., premultiply the right member by $\omega_1^2 \mathbf{D}$, multiplying and dividing each term by ω_i^2 , symplifying, we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=2} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} \right)^2 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2} \right)^2 + \boldsymbol{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_3^2} \right)^2 + \cdots$$

6. repeating the procedure for n times starting from \mathbf{x}_0 , we have

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2}\right)^n + \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 q_{2,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^2}\right)^n + \boldsymbol{\psi}_3 q_{3,0} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_3^2}\right)^n + \cdots$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Going to the limit,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{x}_n=\boldsymbol{\psi}_1q_{1,0}$$

because

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_j^2}\right)^n = \delta_{1j}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|\mathbf{x}_n|}{|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_n|}=\omega_1^2$$

Purified Vectors

If we know $\pmb{\psi}_1$ and ω_1^2 from the matrix iteration procedure it is possible to compute the second eigenpair, following a slightly different procedure.

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Second Mode Analysis

, and the second

.

Alternative

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Second Mode Analysis

Higher Modes

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

If we know ψ_1 and ω_1^2 from the matrix iteration procedure it is possible to compute the second eigenpair, following a slightly different procedure.

Express the initial iterate in terms of the (unknown) eigenvectors,

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=0} = \mathbf{\Psi} \, \mathbf{q}_{n=0}$$

and premultiply by the (known) $\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_{n=0} = M_1 q_{1,n=0}$$

solving for $q_{1,n=0}$

$$q_{1,n=0} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_{n=0}}{M_1}.$$

Purified Vectors

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Second Mode

Analysis

If we know ψ_1 and ω_1^2 from the matrix iteration procedure it is possible to compute the second eigenpair, following a slightly different procedure.

Express the initial iterate in terms of the (unknown) eigenvectors.

$$\mathbf{x}_{n=0} = \mathbf{\Psi} \, \mathbf{q}_{n=0}$$

and premultiply by the (known) $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{M}$:

$$\psi_1^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_{n=0} = M_1 q_{1,n=0}$$

solving for $q_{1,n=0}$

$$q_{1,n=0} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_{n=0}}{M_1}.$$

Knowing the amplitude of the 1st modal contribution to $\mathbf{x}_{n=0}$ we can write a *purified* vector,

$$\mathbf{y}_{n=0} = \mathbf{x}_{n=0} - \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,n=0}.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Second Mode Analysis

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

It is easy to demonstrate that using $\mathbf{y}_{n=0}$ as our starting vector

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{y}_n = \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 q_{2,n=0}, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\mathbf{y}_n|}{|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_n|} = \omega_2^2.$$

because the initial amplitude of the first mode is null.

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Second Mode Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

It is easy to demonstrate that using $\mathbf{y}_{n=0}$ as our starting vector

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{y}_n = \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 q_{2,n=0}, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\mathbf{y}_n|}{|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_n|} = \omega_2^2.$$

because the initial amplitude of the first mode is null. Due to numerical errors in the determination of fundamental mode and in the procedure itself, using a plain matrix iteration the procedure however converges to the 1st eigenvector, so to preserve convergence to the 2nd mode it is necessary that the iterated vector \mathbf{y}_n is *purified* at each step n.

Second Mode Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

The purification procedure is simple, at each step the amplitude of the 1st mode is first computed, then removed from the iterated vector \mathbf{v}_n

$$q_{1,n} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y}_n / M_1$$
,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,n} \right) = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{y}_n$$

Second Mode Analysis

.

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

The purification procedure is simple, at each step the amplitude of the 1st mode is first computed, then removed from the iterated vector \mathbf{v}_n

$$q_{1,n} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y}_n / M_1$$
,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,n} \right) = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{y}_n$$

Introducing the sweeping matrix $\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M}$ and the modified dynamic matrix $\mathbf{D}_2 = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}_1$, we can write

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}_1\mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{D}_2\mathbf{y}_n.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis Second Mode

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

The purification procedure is simple, at each step the amplitude of the 1st mode is first computed, then removed from the iterated vector \mathbf{v}_n

$$q_{1,n} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y}_n / M_1$$
,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{y}_n - \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 q_{1,n} \right) = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{y}_n$$

Introducing the sweeping matrix $\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M}$ and the modified dynamic matrix $\mathbf{D}_2 = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}_1$, we can write

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}_1\mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{D}_2\mathbf{y}_n.$$

This is known as matrix iteration with sweeps.

Using again the idea of purifying the iterated vector, starting with the knowledge of the first and the second eigenpair,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{\psi}_1 q_{1,n} - \mathbf{\psi}_2 q_{2,n} \right)$$

with $q_{n,1}$ as before and

$$q_{2,n} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_2^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y}_n / M_2,$$

substituting in the expression for the purified vector

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\underbrace{\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^T \mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{S}_1} - \frac{1}{M_2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_2^T \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{y}_n$$

Introduction

Mode Analysi

Analysis
Higher Modes

Income termeter

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

Using again the idea of purifying the iterated vector, starting with the knowledge of the first and the second eigenpair,

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{\psi}_1 q_{1,n} - \mathbf{\psi}_2 q_{2,n} \right)$$

with $q_{n,1}$ as before and

$$q_{2,n} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_2^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y}_n / M_2$$
,

substituting in the expression for the purified vector

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{n+1} = \mathbf{D} \left(\underbrace{\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{M_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_1 \boldsymbol{\psi}_1^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M}}_{\mathbf{S}_1} - \frac{1}{M_2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_2^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{y}_n$$

The conclusion is that the sweeping matrix and the modified dynamic matrix to be used to compute the 3rd eigenvector are

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \mathbf{S}_1 - \frac{1}{M_2} \boldsymbol{\psi}_2 \boldsymbol{\psi}_2^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_3 = \mathbf{D} \, \mathbf{S}_2.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysi

Analysis
Higher Modes

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures The results obtained for the third mode are easily generalised. It is easy to verify that the following procedure can be used to compute all the modes.

Define $S_0 = I$, let i = 1,

1. compute the modified dynamic matrix to be used for mode i,

$$\mathbf{D}_i = \mathbf{D} \, \mathbf{S}_{i-i}$$

- 2. compute ψ_i using the modified dynamic matrix;
- 3. compute the modal mass $M_i = \boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{M} \, \boldsymbol{\psi}$;
- 4. compute the sweeping matrix S_i that *sweeps* the contributions of the first i modes from trial vectors,

$$\mathbf{S}_i = \mathbf{S}_{i-1} - \frac{1}{M_i} \boldsymbol{\psi}_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M};$$

5. increment i, GOTO 1.

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis
Higher Modes

_

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis
Higher Modes

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Alternative

The results obtained for the third mode are easily generalised. It is easy to verify that the following procedure can be used to compute all the modes.

Define $S_0 = I$, let i = 1,

1. compute the modified dynamic matrix to be used for mode i,

$$\mathbf{D}_i = \mathbf{D} \, \mathbf{S}_{i-i}$$

- 2. compute ψ_i using the modified dynamic matrix;
- 3. compute the modal mass $M_i = \boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{M} \, \boldsymbol{\psi}$;
- 4. compute the sweeping matrix \mathbf{S}_i that *sweeps* the contributions of the first i modes from trial vectors,

$$\mathbf{S}_i = \mathbf{S}_{i-1} - \frac{1}{M_i} \boldsymbol{\psi}_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M};$$

5. increment i, GOTO 1.

Well, we finally have a method that can be used to compute all the eigenpairs of our dynamic problems, full circle!

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis
Higher Modes

100

Matrix Iteration

Alternative

The method of matrix iteration with sweeping is not used in production because

- D is a full matrix, even if M and K are banded matrices, and the matrix product that is the essential step in every iteration is computationally onerous,
- 2. the procedure is however affected by numerical errors. While it is possible to compute all the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of a large problem using our iterative procedure, we can first optimize our procedure and later seek for

different, more efficient iterative procedures.

Introduction to Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Inverse iteration is based on the fact that the symmetric stiffness matrix has a banded structure, that is a relatively large triangular portion of the matrix is composed by zeroes

Inverse Iteration

Introduction to Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

ntroduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Higher Modes

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Inverse iteration is based on the fact that the symmetric stiffness matrix has a banded structure, that is a relatively large triangular portion of the matrix is composed by zeroes

The banded structure is due to the *FEM* model that implies that in an equation of equilibrium the only non zero elastic force coefficients are due to degrees of freedom pertaining to *FE* that contains the degree of freedom for which the equilibrium is written).

Introduction to Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

ntroduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Higher Mode

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Inverse iteration is based on the fact that the symmetric stiffness matrix has a banded structure, that is a relatively large triangular portion of the matrix is composed by zeroes

The banded structure is due to the *FEM* model that implies that in an equation of equilibrium the only non zero elastic force coefficients are due to degrees of freedom pertaining to *FE* that contains the degree of freedom for which the equilibrium is written).

Definition of LU decomposition

Every symmetric, banded matrix can be subjected to a so called LU decomposition, that is, for \mathbf{K} we write

$$K = LU$$

where ${\bf L}$ and ${\bf U}$ are, respectively, a lower- and an upper-banded matrix.

If we denote with b the bandwidth of K, we have

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } I_{ij} \equiv 0 \text{ for } \begin{cases} i < j \\ j < i - b \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{ij} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with } u_{ij} \equiv 0 \text{ for } \begin{cases} i > j \\ j > i + b \end{cases}$$

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

Inverse Iteration

In this case, with $\mathbf{w}_n = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_n$, the recursion can be written

$$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_n$$

or as a system of equations,

$$\mathbf{U}\,\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{z}_{n+1}$$
$$\mathbf{L}\,\mathbf{z}_{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_n$$

Apparently, we have doubled the number of unknowns, but the z_i's can be easily computed by the procedure of back substitution.

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative

Temporarily dropping the n and n+1 subscripts, we can write

$$z_1 = (w_1)/l_{11}$$

$$z_2 = (w_2 - l_{21}z_1)/l_{22}$$

$$z_3 = (w_3 - l_{31}z_1 - l_{32}z_2)/l_{33}$$
...

$$z_j = (w_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} l_{jk} z_k) / l_{jj}$$

write

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Temporarily dropping the n and n+1 subscripts, we can

$$z_1 = (w_1)/l_{11}$$

$$z_2 = (w_2 - l_{21}z_1)/l_{22}$$

$$z_3 = (w_3 - l_{31}z_1 - l_{32}z_2)/l_{33}$$
...

$$z_j = (w_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} I_{jk} z_k) / I_{jj}$$

The x are then given by $\mathbf{U} x = z$.

We have computed \mathbf{z} by back substitution, we must solve $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$ but U is upper triangular, so we have

$$x_{N} = (z_{N})/u_{NN}$$

$$x_{N-1} = (z_{N-1} - u_{N-1,N}z_{N})/u_{N-1,N-1}$$

$$x_{N-2} = (z_{N-2} - u_{N-2,N}z_{N} - u_{N-2,N-1}z_{N-1})/u_{N-2,N-2}$$
...

$$x_{N-j} = (z_{N-j} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} u_{N-j,N-k} z_{N-k}) / u_{N-j,N-j},$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures We have computed \mathbf{z} by back substitution, we must solve $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}$ but U is upper triangular, so we have

$$x_{N} = (z_{N})/u_{NN}$$

$$x_{N-1} = (z_{N-1} - u_{N-1,N}z_{N})/u_{N-1,N-1}$$

$$x_{N-2} = (z_{N-2} - u_{N-2,N}z_{N} - u_{N-2,N-1}z_{N-1})/u_{N-2,N-2}$$
...

$$x_{N-j} = (z_{N-j} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} u_{N-j,N-k} z_{N-k}) / u_{N-j,N-j},$$

For moderately large systems, the reduction in operations count given by back substitution with respect to matrix multiplication is so large that the additional cost of the *LU* decomposition is negligible.

Introduction

Fundamental

Mode Analysis

naiysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Introduction to Shifts

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

ntroduction

undamental lode Analysis

Analysis

riigilei wodes

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Inverse iteration can be applied to each step of matrix iteration with sweeps, or to each step of a different procedure intended to compute all the eigenpairs, the matrix iteration with shifts.

If we write

$$\omega_i^2 = \mu + \lambda_i,$$

where μ is a *shift* and λ_i is a *shifted eigenvalue*, the eigenvalue problem can be formulated as

$$\mathbf{K}\,oldsymbol{\psi}_i=(\mu+\lambda_i)\mathbf{M}\,oldsymbol{\psi}_i$$

or

$$(\mathbf{K} - \mu \mathbf{M}) \boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{M} \, \boldsymbol{\psi}_i.$$

If we introduce a modified stiffness matrix

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{K} - \mu \mathbf{M}.$$

 $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K} - \mu \mathbf{W}$, we recognize that we have a *new* problem, that has *exactly*

the same eigenvectors and *shifted* eigenvalues,
$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\phi}_i$$
,

where

ere
$$oldsymbol{\phi}_i = oldsymbol{\psi}_i, \qquad \lambda_i = \omega_i^2 - \mu.$$

roduction

ode Analysis cond Mode

verse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Matrix Iteration with Shifts, 2

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$\psi_i = \phi_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

riigilei wodes

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \boldsymbol{\phi}_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.$$

The convergence of the method can be greatly enhanced if the shift μ is updated every few steps during the iterative procedure using the current best estimate of λ_i ,

$$\lambda_{i,n+1} = rac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \mathbf{M} \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n},$$

to improve the modified stiffness matrix to be used in the following iterations,

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \overline{\mathbf{K}} - \lambda_{i,n+1} \mathbf{M}$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Anaiysis

Matrix Iteration

with Shifts
Alternative

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \boldsymbol{\phi}_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.$$

The convergence of the method can be greatly enhanced if the shift μ is updated every few steps during the iterative procedure using the current best estimate of λ_i ,

$$\lambda_{i,n+1} = rac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \mathbf{M} \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n},$$

to improve the modified stiffness matrix to be used in the following iterations,

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \overline{\mathbf{K}} - \lambda_{i,n+1} \mathbf{M}$$

Much literature was dedicated to the problem of choosing the initial shifts so that all the eigenvectors can be computed sequentially without missing any of them.

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Anaiysis

1000

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative

The shifted eigenproblem can be solved, e.g., by matrix iteration and the procedure will converge to the *smallest absolute value* shifted eigenvalue and to the associated eigenvector. After convergence is reached,

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \boldsymbol{\phi}_i, \qquad \omega_i^2 = \lambda_i + \mu.$$

The convergence of the method can be greatly enhanced if the shift μ is updated every few steps during the iterative procedure using the current best estimate of λ_i ,

$$\lambda_{i,n+1} = rac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{x}_n}{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} \mathbf{M} \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n},$$

to improve the modified stiffness matrix to be used in the following iterations,

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \overline{\mathbf{K}} - \lambda_{i,n+1} \mathbf{M}$$

Much literature was dedicated to the problem of choosing the initial shifts so that all the eigenvectors can be computed sequentially without missing any of them.

Rayleigh Quotient for Discrete Systems

methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method.

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

undamental lode Analysis

Analysis

igher Modes

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Procedures

Rayleigh Quotient

Rayleigh-Ritz Method

Rayleigh Quotient for Discrete Systems

discrete system using an assumed shape vector.

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysi

Analysis

ligher Modes

Inverse Iteration

ith Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Rayleigh Quotient
Rayleigh-Ritz Method

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method.

The Rayleigh Quotient method was introduced using distributed flexibilty systems and an assumed shape function, but we have seen also an example where the Rayleigh Quotient was computed for a

Rayleigh Quotient

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method.

The Rayleigh Quotient method was introduced using distributed flexibilty systems and an assumed shape function, but we have seen also an example where the Rayleigh Quotient was computed for a discrete system using an assumed shape vector.

The procedure to be used for discrete systems can be summarized as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0 \sin \omega t, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \omega \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0 \cos \omega t,$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \omega \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0 \cos \omega$$

$$2T_{\text{max}} = \omega^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}^T \mathbf{M} \, \boldsymbol{\phi} \, Z_0^2, \qquad 2V_{\text{max}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}^T \mathbf{K} \, \boldsymbol{\phi} \, Z_0^2,$$

$$2V_{\text{max}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}^{T} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0^2,$$

Rayleigh Quotient

The matrix iteration procedures are usually used in conjunction with methods derived from the Rayleigh Quotient method.

The Rayleigh Quotient method was introduced using distributed flexibilty systems and an assumed shape function, but we have seen also an example where the Rayleigh Quotient was computed for a discrete system using an assumed shape vector.

The procedure to be used for discrete systems can be summarized as

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0 \sin \omega t, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \omega \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0 \cos \omega t,$$

$$2 T_{\text{max}} = \omega^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0^2. \qquad 2 V_{\text{max}} = \boldsymbol{\phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\phi} Z_0^2.$$

equating the maxima, we have

$$\omega^2 = \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}}{\boldsymbol{\phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \, \boldsymbol{\phi}} = \frac{k^*}{m^*}.$$

Take note that ϕ is an assumed shape vector, not an eigenvector.

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Rayleigh-Ritz Method

Subspace Iteration

For a N DOF system, an approximation to a displacement vector \mathbf{x} can be written in terms of a set of M < N assumed shape, linearly independent vectors,

$$\phi_i$$
, $i = 1, \ldots, M < N$

and a set of *Ritz coordinates* z_i , i - 1, ..., M < N:

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} z_{i} = \mathbf{\Phi} \, \mathbf{z}.$$

We say approximation because a linear combination of M < N vectors cannot describe every point in a N-space.

Rayleigh Quotient in Ritz Coordinates

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Tanana Termetan

ith Shifts

Procedures
Rayleigh Quotient

Rayleigh Quotient Rayleigh-Ritz Method

We can write the Rayleigh quotient as a function of the Ritz coordinates,

$$\omega^{2}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{\phi}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\phi} \mathbf{z}} = \frac{\overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\overline{m}(\mathbf{z})},$$

but this is not an explicit fuction for any modal frequency...

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Procedures
Rayleigh Quotient
Rayleigh-Ritz Method
Subspace Iteration

We can write the Rayleigh quotient as a function of the Ritz coordinates,

$$\omega^{2}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^{T} \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\phi} \mathbf{z}} = \frac{\overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\overline{m}(\mathbf{z})},$$

but this is not an explicit fuction for any modal frequency... On the other hand, we have seen that frequency estimates are always greater than true frequencies, so our best estimates are the the local minima of $\omega^2(\mathbf{z})$, or the points where all the derivatives of $\omega^2(\mathbf{z})$ with respect to z_i are zero:

$$\frac{\partial \omega^{2}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_{j}} = \frac{\overline{m}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_{i}} - \overline{k}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_{i}}}{(\overline{m}(\mathbf{z}))^{2}} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, M < N$$

Observing that

$$\overline{k}(\mathbf{z}) = \omega^2(\mathbf{z})\overline{m}(\mathbf{z})$$

we can substitute into and simplify the preceding equation,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, M < N$$

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

. . . .

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Iternative rocedures

Rayleigh-Ritz Method

Reduced Eigenproblem, 1

Observing that

$$\overline{k}(\mathbf{z}) = \omega^2(\mathbf{z})\overline{m}(\mathbf{z})$$

we can substitute into and simplify the preceding equation,

$$\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, M < N$$

With the positions

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{\Phi}. \qquad \overline{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{\Phi}$$

we have

$$\overline{k}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^T \overline{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{z} = \sum_i \sum_j \overline{k}_{ij} z_j z_i,$$

and

$$\left\{\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i}\right\} = \left\{2\sum_{i} \overline{k}_{ij} z_j\right\} = 2\overline{\mathbf{K}}\mathbf{z}$$

and, analogously,

$$\left\{\frac{\partial \overline{m}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z}\right\} = 2\overline{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{z}.$$

Giacomo Boffi

Matrix Iteration

Rayleigh-Ritz Method

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

righer ivioues

inverse iteration

vith Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Rayleigh Quotient Rayleigh-Ritz Method

Rayleigh-Ritz Metho Subspace Iteration

Substituting these results in $\frac{\partial \overline{k}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i} - \omega^2(\mathbf{z}) \frac{\partial \overline{m}(\mathbf{z})}{\partial z_i} = 0$ we can write a *new homogeneous system* in the Ritz coordinates, whose non trivial solutions are the solutions of a *reduced eigenvector problem* in the *M DOF* Ritz coordinates space, with reduced $M \times M$ matrices:

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}}\,\mathbf{z} - \omega^2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}\,\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

mirer be recreation

with Shifts

Procedures
Rayleigh Quotient

Rayleigh-Ritz Method Subspace Iteration

After solving the reduced eigenproblem, we have a set of M eigenvalues $\overline{\omega}_i^2$ and a corresponding set of M eigenvectors $\overline{\mathbf{z}}_i$. What is the relation between these results and the eigenpairs of the original problem? The $\overline{\omega}_i^2$ clearly are approximations from above to the real

 $\overline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \overline{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{j} = \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} \boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{} \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{j} = \overline{M}_{i} \delta_{ij},$

eigenvalues, and if we write $\overline{\psi}_i = \Phi \overline{z}_i$ we see that, being

the approximated eigenvectors $\overline{\psi}_i$ are orthogonal with respect to the structural matrices and can be used in ordinary modal superposition techniques.

A Last Question

Matrix Iteration

Giacomo Boffi

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Income termeter

Matrix Iteration

Alternative

Procedures
Rayleigh Quotient

Rayleigh-Ritz Method

One last question: how many \overline{w}_i^2 and $\overline{\psi}_i$ are effective approximations to the true eigenpairs? Experience tells that an effective approximation is to be expected for the first M/2 eigenthings.

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

Rayleigh Quotient
Rayleigh-Ritz Method
Subspace Iteration

If we collect all the eigenvalues into a diagonal matrix Λ , we can write the following equation,

$K \Psi = M \Psi \Lambda$

where every matrix is a square, $N \times N$ matrix.

The Subspace Iteration method uses a reduced set of trials vectors, packed in $N \times M$ matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}_0$ and applies the procedure of matrix iteration to the whole set of trial vectors at once:

$$\hat{\pmb{\Phi}}_1 = \pmb{\mathsf{K}}^{-1} \pmb{\mathsf{M}} \, \pmb{\Phi}_0.$$

We used, again, the hat notation to visualize that the iterated vectors are not normalized by the application of the unknown Λ .

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration

Alternative Procedures

Rayleigh-Ritz Meth Subspace Iteration

If we collect all the eigenvalues into a diagonal matrix Λ , we can write the following equation,

$$K\Psi = M\Psi\Lambda$$

where every matrix is a square, $N \times N$ matrix.

The *Subspace Iteration* method uses a reduced set of trials vectors, packed in $N \times M$ matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}_0$ and applies the procedure of matrix iteration to the whole set of trial vectors at once:

$$\hat{\pmb{\Phi}}_1 = \pmb{\mathsf{K}}^{-1} \pmb{\mathsf{M}} \, \pmb{\Phi}_0.$$

We used, again, the hat notation to visualize that the iterated vectors are not normalized by the application of the unknown Λ . Should we proceed naively down this road, though, all the columns in Φ_n would converge to the first eigenvector, subspace iteration being only an expensive manner of applying matrix iteration without sweeps or shifts...

Introduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

Inverse Iteration

Matrix Iteration with Shifts

Alternative Procedures

Rayleigh-Ritz Metho Subspace Iteration

Different options that comes to mind:

- 1. force all step n non-normalized vectors to be orthogonal with respect to \mathbf{M} , difficult, essentially we have to solve an eigenvalue problem...
- 2. use the step n non-normalized vectors as a reduced base for the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure, solve an eigenvalue problem

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}}_{n} = \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{K} \, \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{n} = \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{\Phi}_{n-1}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{n} = \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{M} \, \hat{\mathbf{\Phi}}_{n}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}}_{n} \, \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{n} = \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{n} \, \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{n} \overline{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_{n}$$

whose outcome $\overline{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_n$, $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_n$ is correlated to the structural eigenvalues, and use the normalized \mathbf{Z}_n eigenvectors as the normalized, un-hatted $\mathbf{\Phi}_n$.

Subspace Iteration

The second procedure is exactly what we want: we use **Z** to start an iteration that will lead to a new set of base vectors that, being computed from the equation of dynamic equilibrium, will be a better base for the successive estimation of the eigenvectors, a new subspace where the eigenvectors can be more closely approximated.

ntroduction

Fundamental Mode Analysis

Analysis

ligher Modes

Inverse Iteration

with Shifts

Alternative

Rayleigh Quotient
Rayleigh-Ritz Metho

Subspace Iteration

The procedure converges very fast and with excellent approximation to a number of eigenvalues and eigenvector p, p = M - q where q is the number of required *guard* eigenpairs.

Experience shows that we can safely use $q = \min\{p, 8\}$.