# SDOF linear oscillator Response to Impulsive Loads & Step by Step Methods

Giacomo Boffi

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale, Politecnico di Milano

March 18, 2016

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Kevie

Step-by-step Methods

#### Outline

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Examples of SbS Methods

Response to Impulsive Loading

**Review of Numerical Methods** 

Step-by-step Methods

### Response to Impulsive Loadings

#### Response to Impulsive Loading

Introduction Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review of Numerical Methods

Step-by-step Methods

**Examples of SbS Methods** 

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

#### Response to Impulsive Loading

Introdu

Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

> Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak


keview

tep-by-step lethods

# Nature of Impulsive Loadings

An impulsive load is characterized

- by a single principal impulse, and
- by a relatively short duration.



SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Introduction

Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of


Review

Step-by-step Methods

# Nature of Impulsive Loadings

An impulsive load is characterized

- by a single principal impulse, and
- by a relatively short duration.



- Impulsive or shock loads are of great importance for the design of certain classes of structural systems, e.g., vehicles or cranes.
- ▶ Damping has much less importance in controlling the maximum response to impulsive loadings because the maximum response is reached in a very short time, before the damping forces can dissipate a significant portion of the energy input into the system.
- ► For this reason, in the following we'll consider only the undamped response to impulsive loads.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Introduction

Impulse
Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses
Shock or response spectra
Approximate Analysis of

Reviev

tep-by-step Methods

## Definition of Peak Response

When dealing with the response to an impulsive loading of duration  $t_0$  of a SDOF system, with natural period of vibration  $T_n$  we are mostly interested in the *peak response* of the system.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Introduction

Response to Half-Sine Wave

Response for Rectangula and Triangular Impulses

Snock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of

.

review

tep-by-step lethods

# Definition of Peak Response

When dealing with the response to an impulsive loading of duration  $t_0$  of a SDOF system, with natural period of vibration  $T_n$  we are mostly interested in the *peak response* of the system.

The **peak response** is the maximum of the absolute value of the response ratio,  $R_{max} = max\{|R(t)|\}$ .

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Introduction

Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

> Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectra

Approximate Analysis o Response Peak

Keview

ep-by-step ethods

#### Introduction

Impulse
Response for Rectangular
and Triangular Impulses
Shock or response spectr

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Examples of SbS

When dealing with the response to an impulsive loading of duration  $t_0$  of a SDOF system, with natural period of vibration  $T_n$  we are mostly interested in the *peak response* of the system.

The **peak response** is the maximum of the absolute value of the response ratio,  $R_{max} = max\{|R(t)|\}$ .

- ▶ If  $t_0 \ll T_n$  necessarily  $R_{max}$  happens after the end of the loading, and its value can be determined studying the free vibrations of the dynamic system.
- On the other hand, if the excitation lasts enough to have at least a local extreme (maximum or minimum) during the excitation we have to consider the more difficult problem of completely determining the response during the application of the impulsive loading.

### Half-sine Wave Impulse

The sine-wave impulse has expression

$$p(t) = egin{cases} p_0 \sin rac{\pi t}{t_0} = p_0 \sin \omega t & ext{for } 0 < t < t_0, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Response to Half-Sine Wave

Impulse

and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak


Review

tep-by-step lethods

#### Half-sine Wave Impulse

The sine-wave impulse has expression

$$p(t) = egin{cases} p_0 \sin rac{\pi t}{t_0} = p_0 \sin \omega t & ext{for } 0 < t < t_0, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Response to Half-Sine Wave

Impulse

and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak


Review

Step-by-step Methods

### Half-sine Wave Impulse

The sine-wave impulse has expression

$$p(t) = egin{cases} p_0 \sin rac{\pi t}{t_0} = p_0 \sin \omega t & ext{for } 0 < t < t_0, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



where  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{2t_0}$  is the frequency associated with the load. Note that  $\omega t_0 = \pi$ .

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

and Triangular Impulses
Shock or response spectra

Review

tep-by-step Nethods

The frequency ratio is  $\beta={}^{T_n/\!2t_0}$  and the response ratio in the interval  $0< t < t_0$  is

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \left( \sin \omega t - \beta \sin \frac{\omega t}{\beta} \right). \quad [NB: \frac{\omega}{\beta} = \omega_n]$$

It is  $(1-\beta^2)R(t_0)=-\beta\sin\pi/\beta$  and  $(1-\beta^2)\dot{R}(t_0)=-\omega\,(1+\cos\pi/\beta)$ , consequently for  $t_0\leq t$  the response ratio is

$$R(t) = \frac{-\beta}{1 - \beta^2} \left( (1 + \cos \frac{\pi}{\beta}) \sin \omega_n(t - t_0) + \sin \frac{\pi}{\beta} \cos \omega_n(t - t_0) \right)$$

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Response to Half-Sine Wave

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

eview

ep-by-step ethods

#### Maximum response to sine impulse

We have an extreme, and a possible peak value, for  $0 \le t \le t_0$  if

$$\dot{R}(t) = \frac{\omega}{1 - \beta^2} (\cos \omega t - \cos \frac{\omega t}{\beta}) = 0.$$

That implies that  $\cos \omega t = \cos \omega t/\beta = \cos -\omega t/\beta$ , whose roots are

$$\omega t = \mp \omega t/\beta + 2n\pi, \ n = 0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \mp 3, \dots$$

It is convenient to substitute  $\omega t = \pi \alpha$ , where  $\alpha = t/t_0$ :

$$\pi a = \pi \left(\mp \frac{a}{\beta} + 2n\right), \quad n = 0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \ldots, \quad 0 \le a \le 1.$$

Eventually solving for  $\alpha$  one has

$$\alpha = \frac{2n\beta}{\beta \mp 1}, \quad n = 0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \ldots, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

and Triangular Impulses
Shock or response spectra
Approximate Analysis of

Review

step-by-step Methods

Methods

#### Maximum response to sine impulse

We have an extreme, and a possible peak value, for  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$  if

$$\dot{R}(t) = \frac{\omega}{1 - \beta^2} (\cos \omega t - \cos \frac{\omega t}{\beta}) = 0.$$

That implies that  $\cos \omega t = \cos \omega t/\beta = \cos -\omega t/\beta$ , whose roots are

$$\omega t = \mp \omega t/\beta + 2n\pi, \ n = 0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \mp 3, \dots$$

It is convenient to substitute  $\omega t = \pi \alpha$ , where  $\alpha = t/t_0$ :

$$\pi a = \pi \left(\mp \frac{a}{\beta} + 2n\right), \quad n = 0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \ldots, \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1.$$

Eventually solving for  $\alpha$  one has

$$\alpha = \frac{2n\beta}{\beta \mp 1}, \quad n = 0, \mp 1, \mp 2, \ldots, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

The next slide regards the characteristics of these roots.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading Introduction

Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse


Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Methods Of SbS

 $\alpha(\beta, n)$ 



#### SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to mpulsive Loading

#### Response to Half-Sine Wave


Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

#### Review

Methods

### $\alpha(\beta, n)$

In summary, to find the maximum of the response for an assigned  $\beta < 1$ , one has (a) to compute all  $\alpha_k = \frac{2k\beta}{\beta+1}$  until a root is greater than 1, (b) compute all the responses for  $t_k = \alpha_k t_0$ , (c) choose the maximum of the maxima.



- No roots of type  $\alpha_{min}$  for n > 0;
- no roots of type  $\alpha_{max}$  for n < 0;
- no roots for  $\beta>1$ , i.e., no roots for  $t_0<\frac{T_n}{2}$ ;
- only one root of type  $\alpha_{max}$  for  $\frac{1}{3} < \beta < 1$ , i.e.,  $\frac{T_n}{2} < t_0 < \frac{3T_n}{2}$ ;
- three roots, two maxima and one minimum, for  $\frac{1}{5} < \beta < \frac{1}{3}$ ;
- five roots, three maxima and two minima, for  $\frac{1}{7} < \beta < \frac{1}{5}$ ;
- etc etc.

#### SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review

Step-by-step Methods

#### Maximum response for $\beta > 1$

For  $\beta>$  1, the maximum response takes place for  $t>t_0$ , and its absolute value (see slide Response to sine-wave impulse) is

$$R_{max} = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta^2} \sqrt{(1+\cos\frac{\pi}{\beta})^2 + \sin^2\frac{\pi}{\beta}},$$

using a simple trigonometric identity we can write

$$R_{max} = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta^2} \sqrt{2 + 2\cos\frac{\pi}{\beta}}$$

but  $1+\cos 2\varphi=(\cos^2\varphi+\sin^2\varphi)+(\cos^2\varphi-\sin^2\varphi)=2\cos^2\varphi$ , so that

$$R_{\text{max}} = \frac{2\beta}{1 - \beta^2} \cos \frac{\pi}{2\beta}.$$

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses
Shock or response spectra
Approximate Analysis of


Review

tep-by-step 1ethods

#### Rectangular Impulse

Consider a rectangular impulse of duration  $t_0$ ,

$$p(t) = p_0 \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 < t < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



The response ratio and its time derivative are

$$R(t) = 1 - \cos \omega_n t,$$
  $\dot{R}(t) = \omega_n \sin \omega_n t,$ 

and we recognize that we have maxima  $R_{max}=2$  for  $\omega_n t=n\pi$ , with the condition  $t\leq t_0$ . Hence we have no maximum during the loading phase for  $t_0< T_n/2$ , and at least one maximum, of value  $2\Delta_{st}$ , if  $t_0\geq T_n/2$ .

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Introduction

Response for Rectangular

Shock or response spectra Approximate Analysis of

Review

tep-by-step 1ethods

### Rectangular Impulse (2)

For shorter impulses, the maximum response ratio is not attained during loading, so we have to compute the amplitude of the free vibrations after the end of loading (remember, as  $t_0 \le T_n/2$  the velocity is positive at  $t=t_0$ !).

$$R(t) = (1-\cos\omega_n t_0)\cos\omega_n (t-t_0) + (\sin\omega_n t_0)\sin\omega_n (t-t_0).$$

The amplitude of the response ratio is then

$$\begin{split} A &= \sqrt{(1-\cos\omega_n t_0)^2 + \sin^2\omega_n t_0} = \\ &= \sqrt{2(1-\cos\omega_n t_0)} = 2\sin\frac{\omega_n t_0}{2}. \end{split}$$

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Introducti

Response to Half-Sine Wav Impulse

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak


Review

Step-by-step Methods

#### Triangular Impulse

Let's consider the response of a SDOF to a triangular impulse,

$$p(t) = p_0 \, (1 - t/t_0) \, \text{for} \, 0 < t < t_0$$



As usual, we must start finding the minimum duration that gives place to a maximum of the response in the loading phase, that is

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_n t_0} \sin \omega_n \frac{t}{t_0} - \cos \omega_n \frac{t}{t_0} + 1 - \frac{t}{t_0}, \quad 0 < t < t_0.$$

Taking the first derivative and setting it to zero, one can see that the first maximum occurs for  $t=t_0$  for  $t_0=0.37101T_n$ , and substituting one can see that  $R_{max}=1$ .

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Introduction

Response to Half-Sir Impulse

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses

Shock or response spectra

Approximate Analysis of

.

Keview

Methods

# Triangular Impulse (2)

For load durations shorter than  $0.37101T_n$ , the maximum occurs after loading and it's necessary to compute the displacement and velocity at the end of the load phase.

For longer loads, the maxima are in the load phase, so that one has to find the all the roots of  $\dot{R}(t)$ , compute all the extreme values and finally sort out the absolute value maximum.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Response to

Response to Half-Sine Impulse

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review

Step-by-step Methods

### Shock or response spectra


SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Shock or response spectra

rectangular triangular half sine

We have seen that the response ratio is determined by the ratio of the impulse duration to the natural period of the oscillator. One can plot the maximum displacement ratio  $R_{max}$  as a function of  $t_o/T_n$  for various forms of impulsive loads.



Such plots are commonly known as displacement-response spectra, or simply as response spectra.

### **Approximate Analysis**

For long duration loadings, the maximum response ratio depends on the rate of the increase of the load to its maximum: for a step function we have a maximum response ratio of 2, for a slowly varying load we tend to a quasi-static response, hence a factor  $\,\approxeq\,1$ 

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Introduction

Response to Half-Sine Wave

Response for Rectangula and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review

Review

ep-by-step ethods

#### **Approximate Analysis**

For long duration loadings, the maximum response ratio depends on the rate of the increase of the load to its maximum: for a step function we have a maximum response ratio of 2, for a slowly varying load we tend to a quasi-static response, hence a factor  $\approx 1$ On the other hand, for short duration loads, the maximum displacement is in the free vibration phase, and its amplitude depends on the work done on the system by the load. The response ratio depends further on the maximum value of the load impulse, so we can say that the maximum displacement is a more significant measure of response.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Response to Half-Sine Wave

Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses Shock or response spectr.

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review

Step-by-step Methods

### Approximate Analysis (2)

An approximate procedure to evaluate the maximum displacement for a short impulse loading is based on the impulse-momentum relationship,

$$m\Delta \dot{x} = \int_0^{t_0} \left[ p(t) - kx(t) \right] dt.$$

When one notes that, for small  $t_0$ , the displacement is of the order of  $t_0^2$  while the velocity is in the order of  $t_0$ , it is apparent that the kx term may be dropped from the above expression, i.e.,

$$m\Delta \dot{x} \approxeq \int_0^{t_0} p(t) dt.$$

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

introduction

mpulse

and Triangular Impulses
Shock or response spectra

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Review

Step-by-step Methods

# Approximate Analysis (3)

Using the previous approximation, the velocity at time  $t_0$  is

$$\dot{x}(t_0) = \frac{1}{m} \int_0^{t_0} p(t) dt,$$

and considering again a negligibly small displacement at the end of the loading,  $x(t_0)\approxeq 0$ , one has

$$x(t-t_0) \approx \frac{1}{m\omega_n} \int_0^{t_0} p(t) dt \sin \omega_n(t-t_0).$$

Please note that the above equation is exact for an infinitesimal impulse loading.

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Introduction

Response to Half-Sine Wave Impulse

> Response for Rectangular and Triangular Impulses

Approximate Analysis of Response Peak

Pavious

Review

Step-by-step Methods

#### **Review of Numerical Methods**

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

#### Review

Linear Metho

Step-by-step Methods

Examples of SbS Methods

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review of Numerical Methods Linear Methods in Time and Frequency Domain

Step-by-step Methods

Response to Impulsive Loading

Linear Methods

tep-by-step lethods

Examples of SbS Methods

Both the Duhamel integral and the Fourier transform methods lie on on the principle of superposition, i.e., superposition of the responses

- to a succession of infinitesimal impulses, using a convolution (Duhamel) integral, when operating in time domain
- to an infinity of infinitesimal harmonic components, using the frequency response function, when operating in frequency domain.

Examples of SbS Methods

Both the Duhamel integral and the Fourier transform methods lie on on the principle of superposition, i.e., superposition of the responses

- to a succession of infinitesimal impulses, using a convolution (Duhamel) integral, when operating in time domain
- to an infinity of infinitesimal harmonic components, using the frequency response function, when operating in frequency domain.

The principle of superposition implies *linearity*, but this assumption is often invalid, e.g., a severe earthquake is expected to induce inelastic deformation in a code-designed structure.

#### State Vector, Linear and Non Linear Systems

The internal state of a linear dynamical system, considering that the mass, the damping and the stiffness do not vary during the excitation, is described in terms of its displacements and its velocity, i.e., the so called *state vector* 

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Linear Methods

lethods

mass, the damping and the stiffness do not vary during the excitation, is described in terms of its displacements and its velocity, i.e., the so called *state vector* 

The internal state of a linear dynamical system, considering that the

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ \dot{x}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

For a non linear system the state vector must include other information, e.g. the current tangent stiffness, the cumulated plastic deformations, the internal damage, ...

## Step-By-Step Methods

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review of Numerical Methods

Step-by-step Methods Introduction to Step-by-step Methods Criticism of SbS Methods

**Examples of SbS Methods** 

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step
Methods

Criticism

## Step-by-step Methods

The so-called step-by-step methods restrict the assumption of linearity to the duration of a (usually short)  $time\,step$  .

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step Methods

Criticisi

### Step-by-step Methods

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step Methods

CHUCISI

Examples of SbS Methods

The so-called step-by-step methods restrict the assumption of linearity to the duration of a (usually short) time step.

Given an initial system state, in step-by-step methods we divide the time in steps of known, short duration  $h_i$  (usually  $h_i = h$ , a constant) and from the initial system state at the beginning of each step we compute the final system state at the end of each step.

The final state vector in step i will be the initial state in the subsequent step, i+1.

### Step-by-step Methods, 2

Operating independently the analysis for each time step there are no requirements for superposition and non linear behaviour can be considered assuming that the structural properties remain constant during each time step.

In many cases, the non linear behaviour can be reasonably approximated by a *local* linear model, valid for the duration of the time step.

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step Methods

CHUCISIII

### Step-by-step Methods, 2

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step Methods

Criticism

Examples of SbS
Methods

Operating independently the analysis for each time step there are no requirements for superposition and non linear behaviour can be considered assuming that the structural properties remain constant during each time step.

In many cases, the non linear behaviour can be reasonably approximated by a *local* linear model, valid for the duration of the time step.

If the approximation is not good enough, usually a better approximation can be obtained reducing the time step.

Generality step-by-step methods can deal with every kind of non-linearity, e.g., variation in mass or damping or variation in geometry and not only with mechanical non-linearities.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Methods

Criticism

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Methods

Criticism

Examples of SbS Methods

Generality step-by-step methods can deal with every kind of non-linearity, e.g., variation in mass or damping or variation in geometry and not only with mechanical non-linearities.

Efficiency step-by-step methods are very efficient and are usually preferred also for linear systems in place of Duhamel integral.

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Methods

Criticism

Examples of SbS Methods

Generality step-by-step methods can deal with every kind of non-linearity, e.g., variation in mass or damping or variation in geometry and not only with mechanical non-linearities.

Efficiency step-by-step methods are very efficient and are usually preferred also for linear systems in place of Duhamel integral.

Extensibility step-by-step methods can be easily extended to systems with many degrees of freedom, simply using matrices and vectors in place of scalar quantities.

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Methods

ntroduction to Step-by-step Methods

Criticism

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

roundoff using too few digits in calculations.

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

step-by-step Methods

ntroduction to Step-by-step Methods

Criticism

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

roundoff using too few digits in calculations.

truncation using too few terms in series expressions of quantities,

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Methods

Methods

Criticism

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

roundoff using too few digits in calculations.

truncation using too few terms in series expressions of quantities,

instability the amplification of errors deriving from roundoff, truncation or modeling in one time step in all following time steps, usually depending on the time step duration.

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Introduction to Step-by-ste Methods

Criticism

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

roundoff using too few digits in calculations.

truncation using too few terms in series expressions of quantities,

instability the amplification of errors deriving from roundoff, truncation or modeling in one time step in all following time steps, usually depending on the time step duration.

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Keviev

Step-by-step
Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step Methods

Criticism

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

roundoff using too few digits in calculations.

truncation using too few terms in series expressions of quantities,

instability the amplification of errors deriving from roundoff, truncation or modeling in one time step in all following time steps, usually depending on the time step duration.

Errors may be classified as

phase shifts or change in frequency of the response,

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step
Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step

Criticism

The step-by-step methods are approximate numerical methods, that can give only an approximation of true response. The causes of error are

roundoff using too few digits in calculations.

truncation using too few terms in series expressions of quantities,

instability the amplification of errors deriving from roundoff, truncation or modeling in one time step in all following time steps, usually depending on the time step duration.

Errors may be classified as

- phase shifts or change in frequency of the response,
- artificial damping, the numerical procedure removes or adds energy to the dynamic system.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Keview

Step-by-step Methods

Introduction to Step-by-step

Criticism

## **Examples Of SbS Methods**

SDOF linear oscillator G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review

Step-by-step Methods

Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact

Response to Impulsive Loading

Review of Numerical Methods

Step-by-step Method

Examples of SbS Methods
Piecewise Exact Method

#### Piecewise exact method

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Revie

Step-by-step Methods

Examples of SbS Methods

Piecewise Exact

We use the exact solution of the equation of motion for a system excited by a linearly varying force, so the source of all errors lies in the piecewise linearisation of the force function and in the approximation due to a local linear model.

Piecewise Evact

▶ We use the exact solution of the equation of motion for a system excited by a linearly varying force, so the source of all errors lies in the piecewise linearisation of the force function and in the approximation due to a local linear model.

▶ We will see that an appropriate time step can be decided in terms of the number of points required to accurately describe either the force or the response function.

For a generic time step of duration *h*, consider

- $\{x_0, \dot{x}_0\}$  the initial state vector,
- ▶  $p_0$  and  $p_1$ , the values of p(t) at the start and the end of the integration step,
- the linearised force

$$p(\tau)=p_0+\alpha\tau,\ 0\leq\tau\leq h,\ \alpha=(p(h)-p(0))/h,$$

the forced response

$$x = e^{-\zeta\omega\tau}(A\cos(\omega_D\tau) + B\sin(\omega_D\tau)) + (\alpha k\tau + kp_0 - \alpha c)/k^2,$$

where *k* and *c* are the stiffness and damping of the SDOF system.

Evaluating the response x and the velocity  $\dot{x}$  for  $\tau = 0$  and equating to  $\{x_0, \dot{x}_0\}$ , writing  $\Delta_{st} = p(0)/k$  and  $\delta(\Delta_{st}) = (p(h) - p(0))/k$ , one can find A and B

$$A = \left(\dot{x}_0 + \zeta \omega B - \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h}\right) \frac{1}{\omega_D}$$
$$B = x_0 + \frac{2\zeta}{\omega} \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} - \Delta_{st}$$

substituting and evaluating for  $\tau = h$  one finds the state vector at the end of the step.

#### Piecewise exact method

With

$$\mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h} = \sin(\omega_D h) \exp(-\zeta \omega h) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} = \cos(\omega_D h) \exp(-\zeta \omega h)$$

and the previous definitions of  $\Delta_{\text{st}}$  and  $\delta(\Delta_{\text{st}}),$  finally we can write

$$\begin{split} x(h) &= A\,\mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h} + B\,\mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} + (\Delta_{st} + \delta(\Delta_{st})) - \frac{2\zeta}{\omega} \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} \\ \dot{x}(h) &= A(\omega_D\mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} - \zeta\omega\mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h}) - B(\zeta\omega\mathcal{C}_{\zeta,h} + \omega_D\mathcal{S}_{\zeta,h}) + \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} \end{split}$$

where

$$B = x_0 + \frac{2\zeta}{\omega} \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h} - \Delta_{st}, \quad A = \left(\dot{x}_0 + \zeta \omega B - \frac{\delta(\Delta_{st})}{h}\right) \frac{1}{\omega_D}.$$

SDOF linear oscillator

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Reviev

tep-by-step Methods

Examples of SbS

Piecewise Exact

#### Example

We have a damped system that is excited by a load in resonance with the system, we know the exact response and we want to compute a step-by-step approximation using different step lengths.

SDOF linear

G. Boffi

Response to Impulsive Loading

Reviev

Methods

Methods

Piecewise Exact

Piecewise Exact

0.02

Exact

-0.01

-0.02

-0.02

-0.03

0 0.5 1 1.5 2

Time [s]

m=1000kg, k=4 $\pi^2$  1000N/m,  $\omega$ =2 $\pi$ ,  $\zeta$ =0.05,  $p(t) = 4\pi^2$ 5 N sin(2 $\pi$ t)

It is apparent that you have a very good approximation when the linearised loading is a very good approximation of the input function, let's say  $h \le T/10$ .

We have a damped system that is excited by a load in resonance with the system, we know the exact response and we want to compute a step-by-step approximation using different step lengths.