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Continuous Systems

## Intro

## Discrete models

Until now the dynamical behavior of structures has been modeled using discrete degrees of freedom, as in the Finite Element Method procedure, and in many cases we have found that we are able to reduce the number of dynamical degrees of freedom using the static condensation procedure (multistory buildings are an excellent example of structures for which a few dynamical degrees of freedom can describe the dynamical response).

## Intro

## Continuous models

For different type of structures (e.g., bridges, chimneys), a lumped mass model is not an option. While a FE model is always appropriate, there is no apparent way of lumping the structural masses in a way that is obviously correct, and a great number of degrees of freedom must be retained in the dynamic analysis.

An alternative to detailed FE models is deriving the equation of motion, in terms of partial derivatives differential equation, directly for the continuous systems.

## Continuous Systems

There are many different continuous systems whose dynamics are approachable with the instruments of classical mechanics,

- taught strings,
- axially loaded rods,
- beams in flexure,
- plates and shells,
- 3D solids.

In the following, we will focus our interest on beams in flexure.

## Beams in Flexure



At the left, a straight beam with characteristic depending on position $x$ : $m=m(x)$ and $E J=E J(x)$; with the signs conventions for displacements, accelerations, forces and bending moments reported left, the equation of vertical equilibrium for an infinitesimal slice of beam is

$$
V-\left(V+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} d x\right)+m \mathrm{~d} x \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-p(x, t) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

## EoM for an undamped beam



At the left, a straight beam with characteristic depending on position $x$ : $m=m(x)$ and $E J=E J(x)$; with the signs conventions for displacements, accelerations, forces and bending moments reported left, the equation of vertical equilibrium for an infinitesimal slice of beam is

$$
V-\left(V+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} d x\right)+m d x \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-p(x, t) d x=0
$$

Rearranging and simplifying $\mathrm{d} x$,

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}=m \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-p(x, t)
$$

## Equation of motion, 2

The rotational equilibrium, neglecting rotational inertia and simplifying $\mathrm{d} x$ is

$$
\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}=V
$$

## Equation of motion, 2

The rotational equilibrium, neglecting rotational inertia and simplifying dx is

$$
\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}=V
$$

Deriving with respect to $x$ both members of the rotational equilibrium equation, it is

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

## Equation of motion, 2

The rotational equilibrium, neglecting rotational inertia and simplifying $d x$ is

$$
\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}=V
$$

Deriving with respect to $x$ both members of the rotational equilibrium equation, it is

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

Substituting in the equation of vertical equilibrium and rearranging

$$
m(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial x^{2}}=p(x, t)
$$

## Equation of motion, 3

Using the moment-curvature relationship,

$$
M=-E J \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

and substituting in the equation above we have the equation of dynamic equilibrium

$$
m(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[E J(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=p(x, t) .
$$

## Equation of motion, 3

Using the moment-curvature relationship,

$$
M=-E J \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

and substituting in the equation above we have the equation of dynamic equilibrium

$$
m(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[E J(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=p(x, t) .
$$

## Partial Derivatives Differential Equation

In this formulation of the equation of equilibrium we have

- one equation of equilibrium
- one unknown, $u(x, t)$.

It is a partial derivatives differential equation because we have the derivatives of $u$ with respect to $x$ and $t$ simultaneously in the same equation.

## Effective Earthquake Loading

If our continuous structure is subjected to earthquake excitation, we will write, as usual, $u_{\text {TOT }}=u(x, t)+u_{\mathrm{g}}(t)$ and, consequently,

$$
\ddot{u}_{\text {тот }}=\ddot{u}(x, t)+\ddot{u}_{\mathrm{g}}(t)
$$

and, using the usual considerations,

$$
p_{\text {eff }}(x, t)=-m(x) \ddot{u}_{\mathrm{g}}(t) .
$$

## Effective Earthquake Loading

If our continuous structure is subjected to earthquake excitation, we will write, as usual, $u_{\text {TOT }}=u(x, t)+u_{g}(t)$ and, consequently,

$$
\ddot{u}_{\text {Тот }}=\ddot{u}(x, t)+\ddot{u}_{\mathrm{g}}(t)
$$

and, using the usual considerations,

$$
p_{\text {eff }}(x, t)=-m(x) \ddot{u}_{\mathrm{g}}(t) .
$$

In $p_{\text {eff }}$ we have a separation of variables: in the case of earthquake excitation all the considerations we have done on expressing the response in terms of static modal responses and pseudo/acceleration response will be applicable.

## Effective Earthquake Loading

If our continuous structure is subjected to earthquake excitation, we will write, as usual, $u_{\text {TOT }}=u(x, t)+u_{g}(t)$ and, consequently,

$$
\ddot{u}_{\text {TOT }}=\ddot{u}(x, t)+\ddot{u}_{g}(t)
$$

and, using the usual considerations,

$$
p_{\text {eff }}(x, t)=-m(x) \ddot{u}_{g}(t) .
$$

In $p_{\text {eff }}$ we have a separation of variables: in the case of earthquake excitation all the considerations we have done on expressing the response in terms of static modal responses and pseudo/acceleration response will be applicable.

Only a word of caution, in every case we must consider the component of earthquake acceleration parallel to the transverse motion of the beam.

## Free Vibrations

## Free Vibrations

For free vibrations, $p(x, t) \equiv 0$ and the equation of equilibrium for an infinitesimal slice of beam is

$$
m(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[E J(x) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=0
$$

Using separation of variables, with the following notations,

$$
u(x, t)=q(t) \phi(x), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\dot{q} \phi, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=q \phi^{\prime}
$$

etc for higher order derivatives, we have

$$
m(x) \ddot{q}(t) \phi(x)+q(t)\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

## Free Vibrations, 2

Dividing both terms in

$$
m(x) \ddot{q}(t) \phi(x)+q(t)\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

by $m(x) u(x, t)=m(x) q(t) \phi(x)$ and rearranging, we have

$$
-\frac{\ddot{q}(t)}{q(t)}=\frac{\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{m(x) \phi(x)}
$$

The left member is a function of time only, the right member a function of position only, and they are equal...

## Free Vibrations, 2

Dividing both terms in

$$
m(x) \ddot{q}(t) \phi(x)+q(t)\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

by $m(x) u(x, t)=m(x) q(t) \phi(x)$ and rearranging, we have

$$
-\frac{\ddot{q}(t)}{q(t)}=\frac{\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{m(x) \phi(x)} .
$$

The left member is a function of time only, the right member a function of position only, and they are equal... this is possible if and only if both terms are constant, let's name this constant $\omega^{2}$ and write

$$
-\frac{\ddot{q}(t)}{q(t)}=\frac{\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}}{m(x) \phi(x)}=\omega^{2},
$$

## Free Vibrations, 3

From the previous equations we can derive the following two

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{q}+\omega^{2} q=0 \\
& {\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{2} m(x) \phi(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equation, $\ddot{q}+\omega^{2} q=0$, has the homogeneous integral

$$
q(t)=A \sin \omega t+B \cos \omega t
$$

so that our free vibration solution is

$$
u(x, t)=\phi(x)(A \sin \omega t+B \cos \omega t)
$$

the free vibration shape $\phi(x)$ will be modulated by a harmonic function of time.

## Free Vibrations, 3

From the previous equations we can derive the following two

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{q}+\omega^{2} q=0 \\
& {\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{2} m(x) \phi(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equation, $\ddot{q}+\omega^{2} q=0$, has the homogeneous integral

$$
q(t)=A \sin \omega t+B \cos \omega t
$$

so that our free vibration solution is

$$
u(x, t)=\phi(x)(A \sin \omega t+B \cos \omega t)
$$

the free vibration shape $\phi(x)$ will be modulated by a harmonic function of time.
To find something about $\omega$ 's and $\phi$ 's (that is, the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of our problem), we have to introduce an important simplification.

## Eigenpairs of a uniform beam

With $E J=$ const. and $m=$ const., we have from the second equation in previous slide,

$$
E J \phi^{\text {IV }}-\omega^{2} m \phi=0
$$

with $\beta^{4}=\frac{\omega^{2} m}{E J}$ it is

$$
\phi^{\text {IV }}-\beta^{4} \phi=0
$$

a differential equation of $4^{\text {th }}$ order with constant coefficients.

## Eigenpairs of a uniform beam

With $E J=$ const. and $m=$ const., we have from the second equation in previous slide,

$$
E J \phi^{\mathrm{IV}}-\omega^{2} m \phi=0
$$

with $\beta^{4}=\frac{\omega^{2} m}{E J}$ it is

$$
\phi^{\text {IV }}-\beta^{4} \phi=0
$$

a differential equation of $4^{\text {th }}$ order with constant coefficients.
Substituting $\phi=\exp$ st and simplifying,

$$
s^{4}-\beta^{4}=0
$$

the roots of the associated polynomial are

$$
s_{1}=\beta, s_{2}=-\beta, s_{3}=i \beta, s_{4}=-i \beta
$$

and the general integral is

$$
\phi(x)=\mathcal{A} \sin \beta x+\mathcal{B} \cos \beta x+\mathcal{C} \sinh \beta x+\mathcal{D} \cosh \beta x
$$

## Constants of Integration

For a uniform beam in free vibration, the general integral is

$$
\phi(x)=\mathcal{A} \sin \beta x+\mathcal{B} \cos \beta x+\mathcal{C} \sinh \beta x+\mathcal{D} \cosh \beta x
$$

In this expression we see 5 parameters, the 4 constants of integration and the wave number $\beta$ (further consideration shows that the constants can be arbitrarily scaled).

In general for the transverse motion of a segment of beam supported at the extremes we can write exactly 4 equations expressing boundary conditions, either from kinematic or static considerations.

## Constants of Integration

For a uniform beam in free vibration, the general integral is

$$
\phi(x)=\mathcal{A} \sin \beta x+\mathcal{B} \cos \beta x+\mathcal{C} \sinh \beta x+\mathcal{D} \cosh \beta x
$$

In this expression we see 5 parameters, the 4 constants of integration and the wave number $\beta$ (further consideration shows that the constants can be arbitrarily scaled).

In general for the transverse motion of a segment of beam supported at the extremes we can write exactly 4 equations expressing boundary conditions, either from kinematic or static considerations.

All these boundary conditions

- lead to linear, homogeneous equation where
- the coefficients of the equations depend on the parameter $\beta$.


## Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

Imposing the boundary conditions give a homogeneous linear system with coefficients depending on $\beta$, hence:

- a non trivial solution is possible only for particular values of $\beta$, for which the determinant of the matrix of coefficients is equal to zero and
- the constants are known within a proportionality factor.


## Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

Imposing the boundary conditions give a homogeneous linear system with coefficients depending on $\beta$, hence:

- a non trivial solution is possible only for particular values of $\beta$, for which the determinant of the matrix of coefficients is equal to zero and
- the constants are known within a proportionality factor.

In the case of MDOF systems, the determinant's equation is an algebraic equation of order $N$, giving exactly $N$ eigenvalues, now the equation to be solved is a transcendental equation (examples from the next slide), with an infinity of solutions.

## Simply supported beam

Consider a simply supported uniform beam of length $L$, displacements at both ends must be zero, as well as the bending moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(0) & =\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{D}=0, & \phi(L) & =0 \\
-E J \phi^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\beta^{2} E J(\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{D})=0, & -E J \phi^{\prime \prime}(L) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions for the left support require that $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{D}=0$

## Simply supported beam

Consider a simply supported uniform beam of length $L$, displacements at both ends must be zero, as well as the bending moments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(0) & =\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{D}=0, & \phi(L) & =0 \\
-E J \phi^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\beta^{2} E J(\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{D})=0, & -E J \phi^{\prime \prime}(L) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions for the left support require that $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{D}=0$
Now, we can write the equations for the right support as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi(L)=\mathcal{A} \sin \beta L+\mathcal{C} \sinh \beta L=0 \\
-E J \phi^{\prime \prime}(L)=\beta^{2} E J(\mathcal{A} \sin \beta L-\mathcal{C} \sinh \beta L)=0
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+\sin \beta L & +\sinh \beta L \\
+\sin \beta L & -\sinh \beta L
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} \\
\mathcal{C}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

## Simply supported beam, 2

For a simply supported beam we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+\sin \beta L & +\sinh \beta L \\
+\sin \beta L & -\sinh \beta L
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} \\
\mathcal{C}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Simply supported beam, 2

For a simply supported beam we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+\sin \beta L & +\sinh \beta L \\
+\sin \beta L & -\sinh \beta L
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} \\
\mathcal{C}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The determinant is $-2 \sin \beta L \sinh \beta L$, equating to zero with the understanding that $\sinh \beta L \neq 0$ if $\beta \neq 0$ results in

$$
\sin \beta L=0
$$

All positive $\beta$ solutions are given by

$$
\beta L=n \pi
$$

with $n=1, \ldots, \infty$. We have an infinity of eigenvalues,

$$
\beta_{n}=\frac{n \pi}{L} \text { and } \omega_{n}=\beta^{2} \sqrt{\frac{E J}{m}}=n^{2} \pi^{2} \sqrt{\frac{E J}{m L^{4}}}
$$

and of eigenfunctions $\phi_{1}=\sin \frac{\pi x}{L}, \phi_{2}=\sin \frac{2 \pi x}{L}, \phi_{3}=\sin \frac{3 \pi x}{L}, \ldots$

## Cantilever beam

For $x=0$, we have zero displacement and zero rotation

$$
\phi(0)=\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{D}=0, \quad \quad \phi^{\prime}(0)=\beta(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{C})=0
$$

for $x=L$, both bending moment and shear must be zero

$$
-E J \phi^{\prime \prime}(L)=0, \quad-E J \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(L)=0
$$

Substituting the expression of the general integral, with $\mathcal{D}=-\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}=-\mathcal{A}$ from the left end equations, in the right end equations and simplifying

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sinh \beta L+\sin \beta L & \cosh \beta L+\cos \beta L \\
\cosh \beta L+\cos \beta L & \sinh \beta L-\sin \beta L
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A} \\
\mathcal{B}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Cantilever beam, 2

Imposing a zero determinant results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cosh ^{2} \beta L-\sinh ^{2} \beta L\right)+\left(\sin ^{2} \beta L+\cos ^{2} \beta L\right)+2 \cos \beta L \cosh \beta L= \\
& \\
& =2(1+\cos \beta L \cosh \beta L)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cantilever beam, 2

Imposing a zero determinant results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\cosh ^{2} \beta L-\sinh ^{2} \beta L\right)+\left(\sin ^{2} \beta L+\cos ^{2} \beta L\right)+2 \cos \beta L \cosh \beta L & = \\
& =2(1+\cos \beta L \cosh \beta L)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging, $\cos \beta L=-(\cosh \beta L)^{-1}$ and plotting these functions on the same graph

it is $\beta_{1} L=1.8751$ and $\beta_{2} L=4.6941$, while for $n=3,4, \ldots$ with good approximation it is $\beta_{n} L \approx \frac{2 n-1}{2} \pi$.

## Cantilever beam, 3

Eigenvectors are given by

$$
\phi_{n}(x)=C_{n}\left[\left(\cosh \beta_{n} x-\cos \beta_{n} x\right)-\frac{\cosh \beta_{n} L+\cos \beta_{n} L}{\sinh \beta_{n} L+\sin \beta_{n} L}\left(\sinh \beta_{n} x-\sin \beta_{n} x\right)\right]
$$



Above, in abscissas $x / L$ and in ordinates $\phi_{n}(x)$ for the first 5 modes of vibration of the cantilever beam.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
n & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\beta_{n} L & 1.8751 & 4.6941 & 7.8548 & 10.9962 & \approx 4.5 \pi \\
\omega \sqrt{\frac{m L^{4}}{E}} & 3.516 & 22.031 & 61.70 & 120.9 & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

## Other Boundary Conditions

It is possible that

- the beam is supported not by a fixed constraint but by a spring, either extensional or flexural,
- the beam at its end supports a lumped mass, with inertia and possibly rotatory inertia.


## Elastic Support

A beam is supported in $L$ by a spring $k=\kappa^{E J / L^{3}}$, to write the relevant boundary condition we have to impose the vertical equilibrium $\boldsymbol{v}^{\wedge}$ - ${ }^{4} f_{\text {s }}$ where

$$
V=-E J \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}=-E J \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial x^{3}} q(t), \quad f_{s}=k u=\kappa \frac{E J}{L^{3}} \phi(x) u(t) .
$$

## Elastic Support

A beam is supported in $L$ by a spring $k=\kappa^{E J / L^{3}}$, to write the relevant boundary condition we have to impose the vertical equilibrium $\boldsymbol{v}^{4}$ - ${ }^{4} f_{\text {s }}$ where

$$
V=-E J \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}=-E J \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial x^{3}} q(t), \quad f_{s}=k u=\kappa \frac{E J}{L^{3}} \phi(x) u(t) .
$$

If we introduce the idea of taking the derivative with respect to $b=\beta x$, it is $\partial \phi / \partial x=\beta \partial \phi / \partial b$ and the equation of equilibrium is

$$
\kappa \frac{E J}{L^{3}} \phi(x) u(t)-E J \beta^{3} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0 \Longrightarrow \kappa \phi-(\beta L)^{3} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 .
$$

## Elastic Support

A beam is supported in $L$ by a spring $k=\kappa^{E J / L^{3}}$, to write the relevant boundary condition we have to impose the vertical equilibrium $\boldsymbol{v}^{4}$ - ${ }^{4} f_{s}$ where

$$
V=-E J \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}}=-E J \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial x^{3}} q(t), \quad f_{s}=k u=\kappa \frac{E J}{L^{3}} \phi(x) u(t) .
$$

If we introduce the idea of taking the derivative with respect to $b=\beta x$, it is $\partial \phi / \partial x=\beta \partial \phi / \partial b$ and the equation of equilibrium is

$$
\kappa \frac{E J}{L^{3}} \phi(x) u(t)-E J \beta^{3} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0 \Longrightarrow \kappa \phi-(\beta L)^{3} \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 .
$$

We have again an homogeneous equation with coefficients depending on $\beta L$.

## Supported Mass

A beam supports, in $L$, a mass $M=\mu \mathrm{mL}$. The relevant boundary condition is again an equation of equilibrium, $v \uparrow$ - $\downarrow f_{i}$ where $f_{i}=-M \partial^{2} u / \partial t^{2}=-M \phi \partial^{2} q / \partial t^{2}$, but

## Supported Mass

A beam supports, in $L$, a mass $M=\mu m L$. The relevant boundary condition is again an equation of equilibrium, $V^{\uparrow}$ - $\downarrow f_{i}$ where $f_{i}=-M \partial^{2} u / \partial t^{2}=-M \phi \partial^{2} q / \partial t^{2}$, but we know that $q(t)$, solution of the free vibration problem, is a harmonic function, with frequency $\omega$ so it is $f_{i}=\mu \mathrm{mL} \omega^{2} \phi q(t)$ and the equation of equilibrium multiplied by $\beta$ is

$$
\mu m(\beta L) \omega^{2} \phi q(t)+E J \beta^{4} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0 .
$$

## Supported Mass

A beam supports, in $L$, a mass $M=\mu \mathrm{mL}$. The relevant boundary condition is again an equation of equilibrium, $V^{\uparrow}$ - $\downarrow f_{i}$ where $f_{i}=-M \partial^{2} u / \partial t^{2}=-M \phi \partial^{2} q / \partial t^{2}$, but we know that $q(t)$, solution of the free vibration problem, is a harmonic function, with frequency $\omega$ so it is $f_{i}=\mu m L \omega^{2} \phi q(t)$ and the equation of equilibrium multiplied by $\beta$ is

$$
\mu m(\beta L) \omega^{2} \phi q(t)+E J \beta^{4} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0
$$

But $\beta^{4}=m \omega^{2} /$ EJ So that, substituting and simplifying, we have

$$
\mu m(\beta L) \omega^{2} \phi q(t)+E J \omega^{2} \frac{m}{E J} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0 \Longrightarrow
$$

## Supported Mass

A beam supports, in $L$, a mass $M=\mu m L$. The relevant boundary condition is again an equation of equilibrium, $V^{\uparrow}$ - $\downarrow f_{i}$ where $f_{i}=-M \partial^{2} u / \partial t^{2}=-M \phi \partial^{2} q / \partial t^{2}$, but we know that $q(t)$, solution of the free vibration problem, is a harmonic function, with frequency $\omega$ so it is $f_{i}=\mu m L \omega^{2} \phi q(t)$ and the equation of equilibrium multiplied by $\beta$ is

$$
\mu m(\beta L) \omega^{2} \phi q(t)+E J \beta^{4} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0
$$

But $\beta^{4}=m \omega^{2} /$ E so that, substituting and simplifying, we have

$$
\mu m(\beta L) \omega^{2} \phi q(t)+E J \omega^{2} \frac{m}{E J} \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial b^{3}} q(t)=0 \Longrightarrow \mu(\beta L) \phi+\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 .
$$

## Mode Orthogonality

We will demonstrate mode orthogonality for a restricted set of of boundary conditions, i.e., disregarding elastic supports and supported masses. In the beginning we have, for $n=r$,

$$
\left[E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=\omega_{r}^{2} m(x) \phi_{r}(x)
$$

Pre-multiply both members by $\phi_{S}(x)$ and integrate over the length of the beam gives you

$$
\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}(x)\left[E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} x=\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}(x) m(x) \phi_{r}(x) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

## Mode Orthogonality, 2

The left member can be integrated by parts, two times, as in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}(x)\left[E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} x= \\
& \quad\left[\phi_{s}(x)\left[E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{L}-\left[\phi_{s}^{\prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]_{0}^{L}+\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mode Orthogonality, 2

The left member can be integrated by parts, two times, as in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}(x)\left[E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} x= \\
& \quad\left[\phi_{s}(x)\left[E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\right]_{0}^{L}-\left[\phi_{s}^{\prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]_{0}^{L}+\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

but the terms in brackets are always zero, the first being the product of end displacement by end shear, the second the product of end rotation by bending moment, and for fixed constraints or free end one of the two terms must be zero. So it is

$$
\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}(x) m(x) \phi_{r}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

## Mode Orthogonality, 3

We write the last equation exchanging the roles of $r$ and $s$ and subtract from the original,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime}(x) E J(x) \phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x= \\
& \omega_{r}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{s}(x) m(x) \phi_{r}(x) \mathrm{d} x-\omega_{s}^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{r}(x) m(x) \phi_{s}(x) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This obviously can be simplified giving

$$
\left(\omega_{r}^{2}-\omega_{s}^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{r}(x) m(x) \phi_{s}(x) d x=0
$$

implying that, for $\omega_{r}^{2} \neq \omega_{s}^{2}$ the modes are orthogonal with respect to the mass distribution, $\int \phi_{s} \phi_{r} m \mathrm{~d} x=\delta_{r s} m_{r}$.
It is then easy to show that $\int \phi_{s}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{r}^{\prime \prime} E J \mathrm{~d} x=\delta_{r s} m_{r} \omega_{r}^{2}$.

Modal Analysis

## Forced dynamic response

With $u(x, t)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \phi_{m}(x) q_{m}(t)$, the equation of motion can be written

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} m(x) \phi_{m}(x) \ddot{q}_{m}(t)+\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left[E J(x) \phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime} q_{m}(t)=p(x, t)
$$

pre-multiplying by $\phi_{n}$ and integrating each sum and the loading term gives the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n}(x) m(x) \phi_{m}(x) \ddot{q}_{m}(t) \mathrm{d} x+ \\
& \sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n}(x)\left[E J(x) \phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime} q_{m}(t) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n}(x) p(x, t) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Forced dynamic response, 2

By the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions this can be simplified to

$$
m_{n} \ddot{q}_{n}(t)+k_{n} q_{n}(t)=p_{n}(t), \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \infty
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{n}=\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n} m \phi_{n} \mathrm{~d} x, & k_{n} & =\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n}\left[E J \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} x \\
\text { and } & p_{n}(t) & =\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n} p(x, t) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

For free ends and/or fixed supports, $k_{n}=\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} E J \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} x$.

## Earthquake response

Consider an effective earthquake load, $p(x, t)=m(x) \ddot{u}_{g}(t)$, with

$$
\mathcal{L}_{n}=\int_{0}^{L} \phi_{n}(x) m(x) d x, \quad \Gamma_{n}=\frac{\mathcal{L}_{n}}{m_{n}},
$$

the modal equation of motion can be written (with an obvious generalization)

$$
\ddot{q}_{n}+2 \omega_{n} \zeta_{n} \dot{q}_{n}+\omega_{n}^{2} q=-\Gamma_{n} \ddot{u}_{g}(t) .
$$

The modal response, analogously to the case of discrete models, is the product of the modal participation factor and the pseudo-displacement response,

$$
q_{n}(t)=\Gamma_{n} D_{n}(t) .
$$

## Earthquake response, 2

Modal contributions can be computed directly, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n}(x, t) & =\Gamma_{n} \phi_{n}(x) D_{n}(t) \\
M_{n}(x, t) & =-\Gamma_{n} E J(x) \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) D_{n}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

or can be computed from the equivalent static forces,

$$
f_{s}(x, t)=\left[E J(x) u(x, t)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{\prime \prime} .
$$

## Earthquake response, 3

The modal contributions to equiv. static forces are

$$
f_{s n}(x, t)=\Gamma_{n}\left[E J(x) \phi_{n}(x)^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]^{\prime \prime} D_{n}(t),
$$

that, because it is

$$
\left[E J(x) \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]^{\prime \prime}=\omega^{2} m(x) \phi(x)
$$

can be written in terms of the mass distribution and of the pseudo-acceleration response $A_{n}(t)=\omega_{n}^{2} D_{n}(t)$

$$
f_{s n}(x, t)=\Gamma_{n} m(x) \phi_{n}(x) \omega_{n}^{2} D_{n}(t)=\Gamma_{n} m(x) \phi_{n}(x) A_{n}(t) .
$$

## Earthquake response, 4

The effective load is proportional to the mass distribution, and we can do a modal mass decomposition in the same way that we had for MDOF systems, $m(x)=\sum r_{n}(x)=\sum \Gamma_{n} m(x) \phi_{n}(x)$


Above, the modal mass decomposition $r_{n}=\Gamma_{n} m \phi_{n}$, for the first six modes of a uniform cantilever, in abscissa x/L.

## EQ example, cantilever

For a cantilever, it is possible to derive explicitly some response quantities,

$$
V(x), \quad V_{B}, \quad M(x), \quad M_{B},
$$

that is, the shear force and the base shear force, the bending moment and the base bending moment.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{n}^{s t}(x)=\int_{x}^{L} r_{n}(s) d s, & V_{B}^{s t}=\int_{0}^{L} r_{n}(s) d s=\Gamma_{n} \mathcal{L}_{n}=M_{n}^{\star}, \\
M_{n}^{s t}(x)=\int_{x}^{L} r_{n}(s)(s-x) d s, & M_{B}^{s t}=\int_{0}^{L} s r_{n}(s) d s=M_{n}^{\star} h_{n}^{\star} .
\end{array}
$$

$M_{n}^{\star}$ is the participating modal mass and expresses the participation of the different modes to the base shear, it is $\sum M_{n}^{\star}=\int_{0}^{L} m(x) d x$.
$M_{n}^{\star} h_{n}^{\star}$ expresses the modal participation to base moment, $h_{n}^{\star}$ is the height where the participating modal mass $M_{n}^{\star}$ must be placed so that its effects on the base are the same of the static modal forces effects, or $M_{n}^{\star}$ is the resultant of s.m.f. and $h_{n}^{\star}$ is the position of this resultant.

## EQ example, cantilever, 2

Starting with the definition of total mass and operating a chain of substitutions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\text {TOT }} & =\int_{0}^{L} m(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum \int_{0}^{L} r_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\sum \int_{0}^{L} \Gamma_{n} m(x) \phi_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sum \Gamma_{n} \int_{0}^{L} m(x) \phi_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\sum \Gamma_{n} \mathcal{L}_{n}=\sum M_{n}^{\star},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have demonstrated that the sum of the participating modal mass is equal to the total mass.

The demonstration that $M_{\text {B, тот }}=\sum M_{n}^{\star} h_{n}^{\star}$ is similar and is left as an exercise.

## EQ example, cantilever, 3

For the first 8 modes of a uniform cantilever,

| n | $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ | $m_{n}$ | $\Gamma_{n}$ | $V_{B, n}=\mathcal{L}_{n} \Gamma_{n}$ | $h_{n}$ | $M_{B, n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.391496 | 0.250 | 1.565984 | 0.613076 | 0.726477 | 0.445386 |
| 2 | -0.216968 | 0.250 | -0.867872 | 0.188300 | 0.209171 | 0.039387 |
| 3 | 0.127213 | 0.250 | 0.508851 | 0.064732 | 0.127410 | 0.008248 |
| 4 | -0.090949 | 0.250 | -0.363796 | 0.033087 | 0.090943 | 0.003009 |
| 5 | 0.070735 | 0.250 | 0.282942 | 0.020014 | 0.070736 | 0.001416 |
| 6 | -0.057875 | 0.250 | -0.231498 | 0.013398 | 0.057875 | 0.000775 |
| 7 | 0.048971 | 0.250 | 0.195883 | 0.009593 | 0.048971 | 0.000470 |
| 8 | -0.042441 | 0.250 | -0.169765 | 0.007205 | 0.042442 | 0.000306 |

The convergence for $M_{B}$ is faster than the convergence for $V_{B}$ because $V_{B}$ is proportional to a higher derivative of displacements.

